

PLANNING COMMITTEE

WEDNESDAY, 5 NOVEMBER 2008

DECISIONS

Set out below is a summary of the decisions taken at the meeting of the Planning Committee held on Wednesday, 5 November 2008. The wording used does not necessarily reflect the actual wording that will appear in the minutes.

If you have any queries about any matters referred to in this decision sheet please contact Ian Senior, 08450 450 500.

- 1. GRAVELEY WIND FARM (LAND SOUTH OF GREAT PARLOW CLOSE AND WEST OF GRAVELEY, IN THE PARISH OF OFFORD DARCY)**
The Committee resolved unanimously that South Cambridgeshire District Council should object to the application submitted to Huntingdonshire District Council on the grounds of the proposal's proximity to Graveley village, its domination of, and overwhelming impact on, the outlook westwards from the village, and its adverse impact on the setting of St. Botolph Church seen from the east and on residential properties along Toseland Road.
- 2. S/1371/92/O - CAMBOURNE (CAMBOURNE SPORTS CENTRE)**
Approved as report
- 3. S/1386/08/F – FULBOURN (7 HINTON ROAD)**
Approved as report
- 4. S/1714/08/F - LITTLE SHELFORD (8 BRIDGE LANE)**
Refused contrary to report on the grounds of the location, appearance and cumulative impact of the garage in the conservation area, contrary to policies in the South Cambridgeshire Local Development Framework 2007 (especially Policy CH/5).
- 5. S/1532/08/F - LITTLE WILBRAHAM (THE BELL HOUSE, 9 RECTORY FARM ROAD)**
Refused as report
- 6. S/1394/08/F - GREAT SHELFORD (WHITEFIELDS/UPLANDS, HINTON WAY)**
Noting that the application was a departure from the Development Plan, the Committee gave officers delegated powers to approve or refuse the application, subject to the application not being called in by the Secretary of State for her determination. Approval would be subject to the submission of amended plans addressing concerns raised by the Trees and Landscape Officer and Local Highways Authority, and revising the basement parking plan, and a Section 106 Legal Agreement or Deed of Variation replicating the requirements of the previous agreement. The application would be refused if outstanding concerns could not be addressed satisfactorily.

