

Planning Committee

Wednesday, 9 September 2020

Decisions

Set out below is a summary of the decisions taken at the meeting of the Planning Committee held on Wednesday, 9 September 2020. The wording used does not necessarily reflect the actual wording that will appear in the minutes.

If you have any queries about any matters referred to in this decision sheet please contact Ian Senior, 03450 450 500 democratic.services@scams.gov.uk.

1. **S/4295/19/FL - Impington (Impington Village College, New Road)**
The Committee resolved to give officers delegated powers to approve the application subject to
 1. no issues being raised during the additional consultation period;
 2. referral of the application to the Secretary of State as a departure from the Development Plan;
 3. the Conditions and Informatives set out in the report from the Joint Director of Planning and Economic Development, with an additional Condition requiring the submission of details as to the form, construction, and appearance of the flue, an additional Informative strongly encouraging 'Green Excellence' in terms of energy and other matters. but deletion of Condition ai(v) as this duplicated Condition y.
2. **S/4207/19/RM - Cottenham (Land North East of Rampton Road)**
This item was deferred.
3. **S/4057/19/OL - Harston (Tanner and Hall Ltd, Station Road)**
By ten votes to nil with one abstention, the Committee resolved to give officers delegated authority to refuse the application for the reasons set out in the report from the Joint Director of Planning and Economic Development, subject to no issues being raised during the additional consultation period.

(Councillor Dr. Tumi Hawkins abstained.)
4. **S/3215/19/DC - Longstanton (The Retreat, Fewes Lane)**
This item was deferred.
5. **S/0123/20/FL - Willingham (130 Rampton Road)**
By seven votes to three with one abstention, the Committee approved the application subject to
 1. Officers correcting an administrative error in labelling the elevation drawings; and

2. The Conditions and Informatives set out in the report from the Joint Director of Planning and Economic Development.

(Councillors John Batchelor, Cahn, Hawkins, Heylings, Rippeth, Richard Williams, and Wright voted in favour. Councillors Bradnam, Roberts and Heather Williams voted against. Councillor Harvey abstained.)

6. 20/02195/FUL - Cambourne (South Cambridgeshire Hall,6010, Cambourne Business Park)

By affirmation, the Committee approved the application subject to the Conditions set out in the update report from the Joint Director of Planning and Economic Development, and an additional Condition requiring inverter detail as set out in the Officer presentation.

7. S/0009/20/FL - Waterbeach (Recreation Ground, Cambridge Road)

By affirmation, the Committee approved the application subject to the Conditions and Informatives set out in the report from the Joint Director of Planning and Economic Development, including a Condition requiring appropriate screening.

(Councillor Deborah Roberts was not present.)

8. 20/01085/HFUL - Great Wilbraham (2 Butt Lane)

By seven votes to three, the Committee resolved to grant officers delegated authority to approve the application, subject to

1. no issues being raised during the additional consultation period; including on the amended red line boundary; and during which Certificate B is due; and
2. the Conditions set out in the report from the Joint Director of Planning and Economic Development.

(Councillors John Batchelor, Bradnam, Cahn, Harvey, Hawkins, Heylings and Rippeth voted in favour. Councillors Heather Williams, Richard Williams, and Wright voted against. Councillor Roberts was not present.)

9. Accidental additional consultation periods

It had come to the attention of officers that, due to a technical issue with the IT system used by the planning service, there were several planning applications included on the current agenda where an additional consultation period had been started in error.

Officers had therefore made a judgement as to which of those applications were likely to generate additional representations during the additional consultation periods (requiring deferral) and which were less likely to do so and capable of being subject to a Committee resolution to make a decision so long as no comments were received during the additional consultation periods.

Councillor Heather Williams proposed an amendment saying that all eight planning applications should be deferred. This was seconded by Councillor Deborah Roberts but, upon a vote being conducted by roll call, the amendment was lost by seven votes to four. Councillors Roberts, Heather Williams, Richard Williams, and Wright voted to defer all eight applications.

Councillor John Batchelor proposed that Item 6 – S/4207/19/RM – Cottenham (Land North East of Rampton Road) – be deferred. This was seconded by Councillor Anna Bradnam and, upon a vote being taken by roll call, the motion was passed by seven votes to four, with Councillors Roberts, Heather Williams, Richard Williams, and Wright voting against.

Councillor John Batchelor proposed that Item 8 – S/3215/19/DC – Longstanton (The Retreat, Fewes Lane) – be deferred. This was seconded by Councillor Anna Bradnam and, upon a vote being taken by roll call, the motion was passed by seven votes to four, with Councillors Roberts, Heather Williams, Richard Williams, and Wright voting against.