

Draft Histon & Impington Village Design Guide Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)

Consultation Statement and Proposed Changes

1. Background

South Cambridgeshire District Council as the Local Planning Authority developed the draft SPD in collaboration with the local community and other stakeholders since autumn 2018. The Histon & Impington Village Design Guide SPD has been prepared to assist in delivering the objectives as set out in Policy HQ/1: High Quality Design of the adopted South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2018 as well as other related policies.

This consultation statement has been prepared in accordance with the Town and Country Planning (Local Plan) (England) Regulations 2012. Regulation 12 requires that SCDC prepare a consultation statement setting out the persons consulted when preparing the SPD, a summary of the main issues raised by those persons and how these have been addressed in the SPD.

2. Preparation of the draft SPD

The District Council as the Local Planning Authority contracted specialist consultants to work constructively with the local community and other stakeholders, through a series of workshops and events, during the autumn of 2018. A project champion was nominated by the village community and a community steering group was assembled comprising community members representing different interest groups, including the parish council.

Initial workshops were held with the steering group and other members of the local community to allow the community to voice their perceptions of the character of the village, and their priorities for design guidance to be included in the draft SPD. This input and how it is reflected in the document is captured in the Community Input section of the SPD (Chapter 3).

Further workshops and review sessions were held with the community steering group on early drafts of the SPD to gain feedback on the emerging guidance and to ensure that it reflected community priorities and a consensus view.

Workshops were also held by SCDC with officers from the development management (planning) team as key users for the SPD. This has helped to shape the form and content of the draft SPD. The emerging draft SPD was further reviewed by a nominated senior officer from the development management team to comment in more detail on its structure and content from a user perspective.

The draft SPD sought to incorporate this feedback constructively and to balance the priorities and views of the village community with the requirements of a useful and robust policy document.

3. Consultation on the draft SPD

A public consultation on the draft Histon & Impington Village Design Guide SPD was held for 6 weeks between the 19th June 2019 and 31 July 2019. The proposed modifications address the issues raised by the consultation responses. Consultation on the SPD was undertaken in accordance with the Statement of Community Involvement adopted in 2019.

As the draft SPD supports the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan, there was no further need to undertake a separate Strategic Environmental Assessment or Habitats Regulations Assessment for this document, although screening reports were completed and made available during the consultation. An Equalities Impact Assessment was also completed and made available during the consultation.

The draft SPD and other supporting documents were available for inspection during the consultation period at the following locations:

- online on the Council’s website;
- at South Cambridgeshire Hall, Cambourne Business Park, Cambourne, CB23 6EA; and
- at a public exhibition at the St Andrew’s Centre, Histon on 1 July 2019 from 4-8pm, when officers were on hand to answer questions.

Comments could be made using:

- the online consultation system: <https://scambs.jdi-consult.net/localplan/>; or
- by completing the consultation response form and either emailing or posting it to us at vds@scambs.gov.uk or South Cambridgeshire Hall, Cambourne Business Park, Cambourne, CB23 6EA.

The SPD consultation was advertised via a public notice in the Cambridge News on 19 June 2019, and on the Council’s website and social media.

4. Consultees

A list of the organisations who were directly notified of the draft Histon & Impington Village Design Guide (SPD) in accordance with the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 (as amended) via email, or post where no email address was available, can be found as Appendix A. It should be noted that other individuals were also contacted that do not appear on this list.

5. Consultation Outcome / Key Issues Raised

During the consultation, 39 representations were received, made by 16 respondents. Of the representations 14 (36%) of representations were supports, 4 (10%) were objections, and 21 (54%) were comments.

The following series of tables identifies the written representations received to each part of the SPD, summarises the main issues raised, provides a Council assessment of the issues and where necessary what proposed modifications to the SPD are indicated.

1. Introduction (including general (not chapter specific) representations)				
Representations Received	Support: 5	Object: 0	Comment: 6	Total: 11
Main issues in reps 67922 67931 67951 67952 68125 68368 67932 68000	Support <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I support the whole Village Design Guide. I particularly support the design guidance for the School Hill site. The guide succinctly summarises the community (north of the A14). And gives a clear message on standards of housing, green spaces and amenities that we expect from future developments. • The technique of showing buildings and styles not wanted is particularly effective and is applauded. • I support the HI VDS. I've commented in the Introduction 			

68283
68284
68286

section as there doesn't appear to be a general section.

- **Histon & Impington Parish Council:** Histon and Impington Parish council are fully supportive of the proposed Village Design Statement. The team for the VDS, led by SCDC, also had several parish councillor members. The development of both statements have been closely followed by Council. Some minor corrections are suggested.
- **Historic England:** We welcome the production of this village design guide, which is clearly set out and well illustrated. In particular, we are pleased to note its close relationship with the village's neighbourhood plan, which it supports as an evidence base.
- **Cambridgeshire Police:** Developers should, at an early stage, seek advice from Cambridge Police Designing out of Crime officers, based at Police Headquarters - to ensure that the principles of Secured by Design are met to create a safe and secure environment in and around the village.

Object - None

Comment

- The Village Design Guide comes across as being predominantly focused on visual, landscaping and architectural aspects. Sustainability, biodiversity and natural environment aspects are not ignored, but nor are they embraced as powerfully. This seems a missed opportunity to provide guidance on applying the Local Plan's provisions in the context of the villages.
- Aerial photograph: Impington Parish includes areas south of the A14
- The boundary shown is that for the Neighbourhood Plan, not the Parishes. However, we need to recognise that the Guide was not developed to cover the area south of the A14
- Existing village needs to be improved before new work starts. Properties, hedges and fences in poor repair. Roads, verges and pavements to be brought up to respectable standard.
- **Natural England:** The topic this Supplementary Planning Document covers is unlikely to have major effects on the natural environment, but may nonetheless have some effects. We therefore do not wish to provide specific comments, but advise you to consider the following issues: Green Infrastructure, biodiversity enhancement and landscape enhancement
- **SCDC Historic Environment:** Signage could be discussed in more detail. Little reference is made to the approach towards new development in more sensitive areas of the village, such as the Conservation Area or within the curtilage of, or attached too, a listed building. Some recognition of this guidance and greater reference to established guidance would be beneficial.

Council's Assessment	<p>Welcome support</p> <p>Redline boundary – caption is misleading and should be corrected.</p> <p>Green Infrastructure: the importance of green spaces is referenced in subsequent chapters of the Village Design Guide. However biodiversity enhancement could be strengthened in the guidance.</p>
Proposed Modifications	<p>Parish boundary: amend caption on page 2 to state 'Village boundary, as defined for the purposes of the Neighbourhood Plan'</p> <p>Conservation areas: reference in paragraphs 3 and 4 of chapter 2</p>

2. About Histon & Impington				
Representations Received	Support: 2	Object: 0	Comment: 3	Total: 5
Main Issues in reps 67927 68001 68126 67920 67953	<p>Support</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This captures the essence of the villages well Excellent work <p>Object - None</p> <p>Comment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Again, because of the area south of the A14, Histon and Impington are NOT entirely surrounded by Green Belt Suggest adding under key characteristics - the wonderful tree vista when viewing windbreak mixed with tree planting across Impington countryside north of A14). 			
Council's Assessment	<p>Welcome support.</p> <p>Tree vistas are already mentioned in subsequent chapters. Green Belt – it is clear in the text that the area south of the A14 is not within the scope of the Village Design Guide.</p>			
Proposed Modifications	None			

3. Community Input				
Representations Received	Support: 1	Object: 0	Comment: 2	Total: 3
67954 67925 67921	<p>Support</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The eight bullet points reflect well the features of the village that I value <p>Object – None</p> <p>Comment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Foot and cycle paths need maintenance The possibility to walk everywhere - this must be in safety. Currently paths are in poor repair, have a steep camber and are unsafe for the elderly, young children etc 			

	to use.
Council's Assessment	Welcome support. Foot and cycle path maintenance – unfortunately maintenance of public rights of way is not within the scope of the Village Design Guide
Proposed Modifications	None

4. Village Character				
Representations Received	Support: 1	Object: 0	Comment: 0	Total: 1
Main Issues in reps 67955	<p>Support</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> At a high level overview this is a useful characterisation. However, "mixed residential character" fails to convey the distinctiveness of some areas so designated - where layout and setting contribute appreciably to the local feel. For example, The Dole and The Coppice areas have a very different feel to the area around Mill Lane. Perhaps in a future update, experience will show that greater granularity might be beneficial. <p>Object - None</p> <p>Comment - None</p>			
Council's Assessment	It is felt that the VDG already goes into considerable detail.			
Proposed Modifications	None			

5. Enhancing access and relationship with the countryside				
Representations Received	Support: 1	Object: 1	Comment: 2	Total: 4
Main Issues in reps 68365 68285 67957 67956	<p>Support</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Design Guidance will contribute to retaining the community's sense of its relationship with the countryside. Guidance 5.4 could be improved by recasting as "Retain and provide new areas of natural green space [within the village and new developments], including creating corridors suited for wildlife." <p>Object</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Figure 3.1 misses some important "Key views over open countryside", including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> > East from the B1049 immediately north of the A14 across Cawcutt's Lake / to the Holiday Inn and from the Guided Busway bridge ramp. These views across the countryside contributes markedly to the perceived separation from Cambridge (see Guide page 4). > West and south from Villa Road near Primrose Lane > South from Manor Park / Somerset Road footpath across Manor Park field 			

	<p>> South of the Guided Busway near Pease Way / Melvin Way > North from Park Lane</p> <p>Comment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fig 34 refers to Trees on the verge making village special. Somerset Road has most of its trees removed. Replanting trees in the verge would certainly improve the appearance of the road and allow it to become a tree line avenue once again. • Barton and District Bridleways Group: 5.1 Improve access and provide additional pedestrian connections between the village and the countryside. This should be for all Non Motorised Users NMUs, including equestrians, pedestrians, cyclists and others.
Council's Assessment	<p>Welcome support</p> <p>Biodiversity enhancement was mentioned in several representations and as such, it will be further highlighted across the document.</p> <p>NMUs – 5.1 should mention all Non Motorised Users</p> <p>Key views – TBC</p> <p>Trees – are already mentioned extensively through the document.</p>
Proposed Modifications	<p>Highlight biodiversity enhancement throughout the document Amend 5.1 to mention all non motorised users.</p>

6. An active village				
Representations Received	Support: 1	Object: 1	Comment: 2	Total: 4
<p>Main Issues in rep 68366 68127 67959 67958</p>	<p>Support</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The five Guidance items should help retain the village's character whilst allowing commercial activity to proceed. <p>Object</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In Guidance 6.4, I believe the words ", especially the Guided Busway" should be dropped as this discriminates against the north-south bus route. If deprived of passengers the Citi 8's service could be jeopardised, adversely affecting those living far from the Busway. <p>Comment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The allotments off Clay Close Lane were commercial, and were sold to a land banking body some 10 years ago - and are therefore no longer allotments. The "community orchard" between Merrington Place and the B1049 is a small collection of poorly maintained fruit trees. It is not recognised as an orchard, and should not be so labelled. • Barton and District Bridleways Group: 6.4 There 			

	should be strong emphasis on cycling routes. This should be for all Non Motorised Users NMUs, including equestrians, pedestrians, cyclists and others.
Council's Assessment	<p>Welcome support.</p> <p>Guided Busway – agreed that all bus stops should have good accessibility.</p> <p>Allotments/community orchard – Allotments on Clay Lane should not be shown if no longer in use. Community orchard is an asset to the village and it is appropriate that it should be shown. Its maintenance is outside the scope of the VDG.</p> <p>NMUs – in the context of 6.4 the guidance seeks to support pedestrian and cycling routes to contribute to access to employment. Equestrian provision will be highlighted in other parts of the document.</p>
Proposed Modifications	<p>Correct figure 36 to remove Clay Lane allotments.</p> <p>Amend 6.4 to remove 'especially the Guided Busway'.</p>

7. Strengthening the village centre				
Representations Received	Support: 2	Object: 0	Comment: 2	Total: 4
Main Issues in rep 67926 68367 67928 67960	<p>Support:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • These are all proposals which will enhance the vitality and welcoming feel of the community centres. • Please support the Parish Council's detailed submission which has been widely consulted on locally which I support <p>Object - None</p> <p>Comment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High street is residential and retail • Barton & District Bridleways Group 7.5b Links and opportunities for extending the cycle connections should be provided, especially cycling through the sites to encourage cycling to Cambridge and Vision Park. This should be for all Non Motorised Users NMUs, including equestrians, pedestrians, cyclists and others 			
Council's Assessment	<p>Welcome support</p> <p>NMUs – agreed that provision should be made for all NMUs</p> <p>Residential and retail – agreed that residential uses are present in the High Street area</p>			
Proposed Modifications	<p>Add mention of residential uses to paragraph 1</p> <p>Add mention of all Non Motorised Users to 7.5b</p>			

8. Improving the existing residential areas
--

Representations Received	Support: 0	Object: 0	Comment: 2	Total: 2
Main Issues in reps 67961 68364	<p>Support - None</p> <p>Object - None</p> <p>Comment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Extensions should be appropriate - currently extensions are turning housing areas into terraced developments. Merlin Road. Permission is being granted for dwellings in the gardens of these properties. Parking, noise, privacy etc is becoming a problem. • Guidance should state that public realm planting should use native species as naturally occur locally. 			
Council's Assessment	<p>Extensions are covered in detail in chapter 9</p> <p>Native planting has been mentioned by other respondents and further mention can be made.</p>			
Proposed Modifications	Add references to use of native, climate change resistant planting to 8.3			

9. Appropriate change to enhance the existing village				
Representations Received	Support: 1	Object: 0	Comment: 0	Total: 0
Main Issues in reps 67962	<p>Support</p> <p>Object</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I support the seven Guidance items. An eighth should be added: Provisions, through design, to safely enhance biodiversity are expected. <p>Comment</p>			
Council's Assessment	Biodiversity has been mentioned in a number of representations and the VDG can be strengthened in this regard.			
Proposed Modifications	Add further mention of biodiversity enhancement and net gain.			

10. Integrating larger developments within the village				
Representations Received	Support: 1	Object: 0	Comment: 7	Total: 8
Main Issues in reps 67923 67963	<p>Support</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The 11 items will all contribute to ensuring any new development feels readily part of the village. Add references to cycle parking, native species and biodiversity enhancement. <p>Object - None</p> <p>Comment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Like - but only advisory. Improvement of existing residential areas by replacing lost trees, verges, gardens etc – how can this be done? 			

Council's Assessment	Welcome support Cycle parking is already mentioned in 10.11 Native species and biodiversity have been highlighted by a number of respondents and are a key part of sustainable, high quality design. Amend to highlight this more strongly.
Proposed Modifications	Cycle parking: mentioned in 10.11 Native species and biodiversity enhancement – add reference in 7, 8, 9 and 10

11. Appropriate scale, materials and details				
Representations Received	Support: 0	Object: 1	Comment: 0	Total: 1
Main Issues in reps 67964	<p>Support - None</p> <p>Object:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The focus on visual, architectural aspects seems too narrow. The opportunity has been missed to go beyond the requirements in the Local Plan which could lead to making the village an exemplar in 21st century design through emphasising energy efficiency and minimising resource use and enhancing biodiversity - eg swift bricks and holes in fences / walls for hedgehog and frog movement, to name but two details. <p>Comment - None</p>			
Council's Assessment	Energy efficiency: this is outside the scope of the Village Design Guide and is covered comprehensively in the Sustainable Design and Construction SPD Biodiversity: it is acknowledged that this could be further referenced.			
Proposed Modifications	Biodiversity: add mention to chapter 8, 9 and 10 to draw attention to opportunities for biodiversity enhancement and net gain.			

12. Development that is inappropriate for Histon & Impington				
Representations Received	Support: 0	Object: 0	Comment: 1	Total: 1
Main Issues in reps 68002	<p>Support - None</p> <p>Object - None</p> <p>Comment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cambridgeshire LLFA: The VDG SPD could strive to further promote Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) 			
Council's Assessment	Sustainable Drainage Systems are mentioned but could be further highlighted.			
Proposed Modifications	Add mention of SuDS to chapters 7, 8, 9 and 10			

6. Schedule of changes to the SPD

Note: minor graphic amendments, spelling and other corrections are not listed.

Introduction

Caption of boundary area amended

Chapter 2

Small corrections

Add reference to conservation areas in paragraphs 2 and 3

Chapter 5

Specification of all non-motorised users included in map and text;

Reference to enhanced biodiversity added

Chapter 6

Figure 36 amended to remove former allotments site no longer in use

6.4 – amended to remove emphasis on Guided Busway

Chapter 7

Reference to residential in High Street area included.

Reference to all non-motorised users added to 7.5b.

Cycling added to 7.5a

Added guidance point on SuDS

Chapter 8

Reference to biodiversity and native climate resilient planting added

Reference to SuDS added

Chapter 9

Added reference to importance of Conservation Area to paragraph 1

Added reference to SuDs in 9.2

Added reference to biodiversity in 9.2 and 9.7

Chapter 10

Additional references to biodiversity

Guidance 10.10 reference to cycle parking included.

Guidance 10.6 reference to native, locally occurring species for planting included.

Added " Provisions, through design, to safely enhance biodiversity are important to The future quality of the village and should be integrated in all new development.

Chapter 11

Additional reference to biodiversity

7. List of consultees

3CT (Haverhill Community Transport)

A2 Dominion Housing Group

Abbotsley Parish Council

Abellio Greater Anglia

Abington Pigotts Parish Council

Accent Nene Housing Society Limited

Advisory Council for the Education of

Gypsy and other Travellers (ACERT)

Affinity Water

Age UK Cambridgeshire

Airport Operators Association

Anglia Ruskin University - Cambridge
Campus

Anglian Water Services Limited

Arrington Parish Council

Ashdon Parish Council

Ashwell Parish Council

Babraham Parish Council

Balsham Parish Council

Bar Hill Parish Council

Barley Parish Council

Barrington Parish Council

Barrington Parish Council

Bartlow Parish Council
Barton Parish Council
Bassingbourn cum Kneesworth Parish Council
Bedford Borough Council
Bedfordshire and River Ivel Internal Drainage Board
Bedfordshire Pilgrims Housing Association
Bidwells
Bluntisham Parish Council
Bottisham Parish Council
Bourn Parish Council
Bovis Homes (South East)
Boxworth Parish Council
Braintree District Council
Brinkley Parish Council
British Gas
British Horse Society
British Romany Union
Building Research Establishment
Caldecote Parish Council
Cam Valley Forum
Cambourne Parish Council
Cambridge and County Developments (formerly Cambridge Housing Society)
Cambridge Area Bus Users
Cambridge Campaign for Better Transport
Cambridge Council for Voluntary Service
Cambridge Cycling Campaign
Cambridge Dial a Ride
Cambridge Ethnic Community Forum
Cambridge Federation of Tenants Leaseholders and Residents Assoc.
Cambridge Forum of Disabled People
Cambridge GET Group
Cambridge Inter-Faith Group
Cambridge Past Present and Future
Cambridge Peterborough and South Lincolnshire (CPSL) Mind
Cambridge Race Equality & Diversity Service
Cambridge Regional College
Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust
Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust
Cambridge Water (South Staffs Water)
Cambridge Women's Resource Centre (CWRC)
Cambridgeshire & Peterborough Combined Authority
Cambridgeshire & Peterborough NHS Foundation Trust
Cambridgeshire ACRE

Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Association of Local Councils
Cambridgeshire Chamber of Commerce
Cambridgeshire Community Foundation
Cambridgeshire Constabulary
Cambridgeshire County Council
Cambridgeshire Ecumenical Council
Cambridgeshire Fire and Rescue Service
Cambridgeshire Football Association
Cambridgeshire Local Access Forum
Cambs Fire Service (Operational Support Directorate)
Campaign to Protect Rural England (CPRE)
Care Network
Carlton Cum Willingham Parish Council
Castle Camps Parish Council
Caxton Parish Council
Central Bedfordshire Council
Centre 33
Chancellor, Masters and Scholars of the Univ. of Cambridge
Childerley Parish Council
Chrishall Parish Council
Church Commissioners
Circle Anglia Housing Trust
Civil Aviation Authority (CAA)
Clarion Housing Group
Comberton Parish Council
Confederation of British Industry - East of England
Conington Parish Council
Conservators of the River Cam
Cottenham Parish Council
Country Land & Business Association
Countryside Properties Plc
Croydon Parish Council
DB Schenker Rail (UK)
Defence Lands Ops North
Department for Business Innovation and Skills
Department for Transport
Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
Design Council CABE
Disability Cambridgeshire
Dry Drayton Parish Council
Dunton Parish Council
Duxford Parish Council
Earith Parish Council
East Cambridgeshire District Council
Education Funding Agency
EE
Elmdon and Wendon Lofts Parish Council
Elsworth Parish Council

Eltisley Parish Council
Ely Diocesan Board
Ely Group of Internal Drainage Boards
Environment Agency
EON UK plc
Essex County Council
Everton Parish Council
Eynesbury Hardwicke Parish Council
Federation of Small Businesses
Fen Ditton Parish Council
Fen Drayton Parish Council
Fenland District Council
Fenstanton Parish Council
Fields in Trust
Flagship Homes
Flagship Homes
Forest Heath District Council
Forestry Commission England
Fowlmere Parish Council
Foxton Parish Council
Freight Transport Association
Friends of the Earth
Friends, Families and Travellers
Community Base
Histon & Impington Parish Council
Gallagher Estates
Girton Parish Council
Godmanchester Town Council
Grantchester Parish Council
Graveley Parish Council
Great Abington Parish Council
Great and Little Chishill Parish Council
Great and Little Eversden Parish Council
Great Bradley Parish Council
Great Chesterford Parish Council
Great Gransden Parish Council
Great Ouse Boating Association
Great Shelford Parish Council
Great Thurlow Parish Council
Great Wilbraham Parish Council
Greater Cambridge Partnership
Guilden Morden Parish Council
Haddenham Parish Council
Hadstock Parish Council
Hardwick Parish Council
Harlton Parish Council
Harston Parish Council
Haslingfield Parish Council
Hastoe Housing Association
Hatley Parish Council
Hauxton Parish Council
Haverhill Town Council
Hazardous Installations Inspectorate
Health and Safety Executive
Helions Bumpstead Parish Council

Hertfordshire County Council
Heydon Parish Council
Highways England
Hildersham Parish Council
Hilton Parish Council
Hinxton Parish Council
Histon & Impington Parish Council
Historic England
Holywell-cum-Needingworth Parish
Council
Home Builders Federation
Homes and Communities Agency
Horningsea Parish Council
Horseheath Parish Council
Hundred Houses Society Limited
Huntingdonshire Association for
Community Transport (HACT)
Huntingdonshire District Council
Hunts Health - Local Commissioning
Group
Iceni Homes
Ickleton Parish Council
Institute of Directors - Eastern Branch
IWM Duxford
Kelshall Parish Council
Kier Partnership Homes Limited
King Street Housing Society
Kingston Parish Council
Knapwell Parish Council
Landbeach Parish Council
Linton Parish Council
Litlington Parish Council
Little Abington Parish Council
Little Shelford Parish Council
Little Thurlow Parish Council
Little Wilbraham and Six Mile Bottom
Parish Council
Littlebury Parish Council
Local Nature Partnership
Lode Parish Council
Lolworth Parish Council
Longstanton Parish Council
Longstowe Parish Council
Luminus Group
Marine Management Organisation
Marshall of Cambridge (Holdings) Limited
Melbourn Parish Council
Meldreth Parish Council
MENTER
Middle Level Commissioners
Milton Parish Council
National Association of Health Workers
with Travellers
National Grid
National House Building Council

National Housing Federation
 National Travellers Action Group
 Natural England
 Network Rail
 Network Regulation
 Newton Parish Council
 NHS Cambridgeshire and Peterborough
 Clinical Commissioning Group
 NHS England (Midlands & East)
 NHS Property Services Ltd (Midlands & East)
 North Hertfordshire District Council
 Nuthampstead Parish Council
 Oakington and Westwick Parish Council
 Office of Rail and Road
 Offord Cluny and Offord Darcy Parish Council
 Openreach
 Orchard Park Community Council
 Ormiston Children's and Family Trust
 Orwell Parish Council
 Over and Willingham Internal Drainage Board
 Over Parish Council
 Pampisford Parish Council
 Papworth Everard Parish Council
 Papworth Hospital NHS Foundation Trust
 Papworth Saint Agnes Parish Meeting
 Paradigm Housing Group
 Persimmon Homes East Midlands Limited
 Peterborough City Council
 Planning Inspectorate
 Post Office Property
 Potton Town Council
 Ramblers' Association [Cambridge Group]
 Rampton Parish Council
 Renewable UK
 Road Haulage Association
 Romany Institute
 Royal Mail
 Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (RSPB)
 Royston Community Transport
 Royston Town Council
 Sanctuary Housing Association
 Sawston Parish Council
 Scottish and Southern Electricity Group
 Shelter
 Shingay-cum-Wendy Parish Council
 Shudy Camps Parish Council
 Skills Funding Agency
 Smithy Fen Residents Association
 South Cambridgeshire District Council
 South Cambridgeshire Youth Council
 Sport England
 St Edmundsbury Borough Council
 St Ives Town Council
 St Neots Rural Parish Council
 Stagecoach East
 Stapleford Parish Council
 Steeple Morden Parish Council
 Stow-cum-Quy Parish Council
 Strethall Parish Council
 Stretham Parish Council
 Suffolk County Council
 Sustrans (East of England)
 Swaffham Bulbeck Parish Council
 Swaffham Prior Parish Council
 Swavesey Internal Drainage Board
 Swavesey Parish Council
 Tadlow Parish Council
 Taylor Wimpey East Anglia
 Teversham Parish Council
 The Amusement Catering Equip. Society (ACES)
 The Association of Circus Proprietors
 The Association of Independent Showmen (AIS)
 The Cambridgeshire Cottage Housing Society
 The camToo Project
 The Crown Estate
 The Equality and Human Rights Commission
 The Gypsy Council (GCECWCR)
 The Kite Trust
 The Lawn Tennis Association
 The Magog Trust
 The National Trust
 The Papworth Trust
 The Showman's Guild of Great Britain
 The Society of Independent Roundabout Proprietors
 The Theatres Trust
 The Traveller Law Reform Project
 The Traveller Movement
 The Varrier Jones Foundation
 The Wildlife Trust
 Three
 Thriplow Parish Council
 Toft Parish Council
 Toseland Parish Council
 Travel for Work Partnership
 Traveller Solidarity Network
 UK Power Networks
 University of Cambridge - Vice Chancellor's Office
 Uttlesford District Council
 Visit East Anglia Limited
 Vodafone and O2

Waresley Parish Council
West Suffolk (Forest Heath and St
Edmundsbury Councils)
West Wratting Parish Council
Weston Colville Parish Council
Whaddon Parish Council
Whippet Coaches Limited
Whittlesford Parish Council
Wicken and Upware Parish Council
Wilburton Parish Council
Willingham Parish Council
Wimpole Parish Council
Withersfield Parish Council
Wood Plc
Woodland Trust
Wrestlingworth and Cockayne Hatley
Parish Council
Yelling Parish Council