

APPENDIX 3**SCHEDULE OF WASTE SITES ALLOCATED IN SOUTH CAMBRIDGESHIRE February 2010**

Site / Map ref – This includes the name of each site as well as the proposals map inset number.

P/NP – This refers to whether the site was a preferred (P) or non-preferred (NP) site in the earlier consultation.

S/O – This is whether the allocation is being supported or objected to.

MWSSP – Minerals and Waste Site Specific Proposals DPD

MWCS – Minerals and Waste Core Strategy DPD

PO2 2009 – Indicates site included in second round of consultations on new sites carried out in 2009.

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
Map inset 40	Brookfield Business Park, Cottenham	Policy SSP W1D Site specific allocations for waste recycling and recovery facilities – Potential uses include – Specialist Suitable new waste management technologies Policy SSP W8G Waste Consultation Areas	Same site as was proposed in previous consultation in December 2006. The site is identified in the Core Strategy as a strategic allocation for a hazardous waste facility. This is the extension to an existing facility – Malary Environmental. Natural England has identified this site as one that a full appropriate assessment under the Habitat Regulations Assessment will have to be undertaken. Environmental Health comment:	O	No longer identified as a strategic allocation in the Core Strategy but is identified in the MWSSP. It was noted in October 2008 that the proposal for a waste facility at Cottenham was one that English Nature (EN) had identified as needing a full Appropriate Assessment (AA) to be carried out to test whether if the site were used it would significantly affect any Ramsar or European wildlife sites. This assessment has now been carried out and it has been found that no mitigation measures need to be undertaken. In the MWSSP it states that issues to be addressed within a planning application should include – Pollution control measures required;

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
			<p>This is a proposed extension to an existing facility but it is within 100m of residential premises therefore noise/pollution and environmental impact would need to be assessed. The existing facility has generated some concern amongst local residents. The Council would support the proposed extension if environmental improvements were part of this future scheme.</p> <p>Conservation comments: Proposal removes large areas of scrub and wildlife cover in a very open area and is directly adjacent to Cottenham Lode with potential for pollution. It is probably reasonable to assume that great crested newts might be present associated with the open water habitats due to their general presence in parts of Cottenham.</p> <p>Object until such time as further assessment of the environmental impact of the scheme is carried out. Environmental Health has raised concerns and further</p>		<p>Ecological evaluation; Additional landscaping / treatments to mitigate visual impact; Minimise impacts of HCV.</p> <p>The Council considers that these issues should be incorporated into a site-specific policy for this allocation to add weight to them as matters that must be considered when a planning application is prepared for the facility.</p> <p>The comments expressed by Conservation at earlier consultations remain the same and Environmental Health comments have been added to. The full comments are as follows:</p> <p>Environmental Health comment: This is a proposed extension to an existing facility but it is within 100m of residential premises therefore noise/pollution and environmental impact would need to be assessed. The existing facility has generated some concern amongst local residents. The Council would support the proposed extension if environmental improvements were part of this future scheme and mitigation measures were implemented to ensure adequate protection against any potential adverse environmental</p>

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
			information is needed before the Council can decide whether this is an appropriate allocation.		<p>impact and to protect the health and wellbeing of residents.</p> <p>There should be further information provided in the MWSSP on what environmental improvements should be included in future schemes. More information should be included in paragraph 8.9.</p> <p>No objection in principle to the allocation providing that any planning application includes a satisfactory environmental impact assessment.</p> <p>A site-specific policy should be included for this allocation in the MWSSP to incorporate the need for an environmental impact assessment.</p>
Map inset 41	Cambridge East	<p>Policy CS 16 Household Recycling Centres -Broad locations listed in policy</p> <p>Policy SSP W1E Site specific allocations for waste recycling and</p>	<p>Sites one and two that were considered separately in December 2006 are now joined so the site being considered is that of the Cambridge East Area Action Plan (AAP)</p> <p>The site is being considered for three waste management facilities– a Commercial resource and recovery recycling facility where collected</p>	S	<p>The site included in the proposed Submission MWSSP is that of the Cambridge East Area Action Plan (AAP).</p> <p>The site is listed in Policy CS16 as a broad location for a Household Recycling Centre.</p> <p>The site is identified as having potential for a number of different waste uses – Recycling facility;</p>

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
		<p>recovery facilities Potential uses include – Recycling facility; Household Recycling Centre; Temporary Inert Waste Recycling; Suitable New Waste Management Technologies; Materials Recovery Facility</p> <p>Policy SSP W8H Waste Consultation Areas</p>	<p>recyclable waste will be sorted – this is to serve the Cambridge area; a local recycling facility for domestic users and a temporary inert recycling for use as the area is developed.</p> <p>The Site Specific Proposals DPD states –“ Could be located in land suitable for employment / mixed uses, to be determined by masterplanning stage of the redevelopment. Needs to have good access to the local road network for HCV movements. Needs to be located in an area where access is not through residential development but by main distributor roads. Facility to be designed in accordance with SPD* and should be an enclosed facility. Area of search has been modified to exclude the green wedge and show the area of land published in the Area Action Plan for Cambridge East”.</p> <p>* The Location and Design of Major Waste management facilities SPD is the one being referred to.</p> <p>The commercial resource and</p>		<p>Household Recycling Centre; Temporary Inert Waste Recycling; Suitable New Waste Management Technologies; Materials Recovery Facility.</p> <p>The timing of the proposed facilities is dependent on the redevelopment of Cambridge East.</p> <p>The uses are ones that were supported by the Council at the previous consultation subject to some conditions being met. The comments made by Environmental Health remain valid.</p> <p>Support the uses being proposed for the site. Cambridge East will be the largest single development in the Cambridge Sub-Region over the next 15 years and it is to be planned at high densities and therefore the location and design of the proposed waste management facilities must have regard to this. Support would be subject to consideration of the matters raised by Environmental Health, which are that the proposal should be subject to an environmental and health impact assessment.</p>

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
			<p>recovery recycling facility and the local recycling centre must have regard to the fact that it is proposed that this area will be developed at a high density. The Council support the comments made by the County as to the considerations of where and how the impact of these uses can be reduced by good design and location.</p> <p>It is to be welcomed that Cambridge East is the preferred site for a temporary inert waste recycling facility. There is no indication of the potential scale of the facility. It should be noted that as the different phases of Cambridge East come forward for development it might be that a number of small recycling facility may be more appropriate than one large one.</p> <p>The facility should not locate so that it impacts upon the existing communities within Cambridge and the villages of Fen Ditton and Teversham.</p>		<p>The inert recycling facility is supported however it should be recognised that it may be more appropriate to have a number of smaller sites to serve the development of Cambridge East. Support would be subject to the measures to mitigate the matters raised by Environmental Health, which are that the proposal should be subject to an environmental and health impact assessment.</p> <p>The facilities should not be located so that they impact upon the existing communities within Cambridge and the villages of Fen Ditton and Teversham particularly the temporary inert recycling facility.</p> <p>South Cambs request that a site – specific policy be included for Cambridge East to include those issues mentioned above relating to mitigation matters and impact on surrounding communities.</p>

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
			<p>Environmental health comments: Stated in January 2006 that there is a potential conflict with the proposal for large-scale residential development in close proximity to this site. The proposal should be subject to an environmental and health impact assessment.</p> <p>As this is a new development it would be possible to ensure minimal environmental impact at the design stage by incorporating mitigation measures into the development.</p> <p>Conservation comment: Potential impacts on large areas of housing. Potential impacts on Cherry Hinton Brook and Coldhams Common. Potential impacts on view of City edge. Heavy traffic from Cambridge</p> <p>Support the uses being proposed for the site. Cambridge East will be the largest single development in the Cambridge Sub-Region over the next 15 years and it is to be planned at high densities and</p>		

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
			<p>therefore the location and design of the proposed waste management facilities must have regard to this. Support would be subject to consideration of the matters raised by Environmental Health.</p> <p>The inert recycling facility is supported however it should be recognised that it may be more appropriate to have a number of smaller sites to serve the development of Cambridge East. Support would be subject to the measures to mitigate the matters raised by Environmental Health.</p> <p>The facilities should not be located so that they impact upon the existing communities within Cambridge and the villages of Fen Ditton and Teversham particularly the temporary inert recycling facility.</p>		
Map inset 42	Cambridge Northern Fringe	Policy CS 16 Household Recycling Centres - Broad locations	This is still the preferred area of search for waste recycling and recovery as was consulted on in December 2006, although the	S	The boundary of the site is different from that consulted upon in 2008 since it excludes railway sidings to the south east of the site - this part of the site is within South Cambs. It is

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
		<p>listed in policy</p> <p>Policy SSP W1F Site specific allocations for waste recycling and recovery facilities Potential uses include – Household Recycling Centre; Inert Waste Recycling; Suitable New Waste Management Technologies;</p> <p>Policy SSP W8I Waste Consultation Areas</p>	<p>boundaries of the site have been increased to take account of the Park and Ride site being located to Milton. The area of search is therefore bigger than that shown as part of the previous consultation. The type of waste facility would be a Recycling Centre.</p> <p>There is a second proposal for a recycling facility for inert industrial and construction industry waste. There is already a temporary facility operating on the northern fringe, and a permanent facility is being proposed</p> <p>In the earlier consultation in December 2006 consideration was given to relocating the Waste Water Treatment Works from this northern fringe area. A study found this to be unfeasible</p> <p>The site is within both within S Cambs and Cambridge City. It is intended that a new plan be prepared jointly by S Cambs; Cambridge City and the County Council for this area.</p>		<p>the intention of S Cambs, Cambridge City and the County Council to jointly prepare a new plan for this larger area.</p> <p>The new smaller site is listed in Policy CS16 as a broad location for a Household Recycling Centre.</p> <p>The site is identified in MWSSP as having potential for three different waste uses – Household Recycling Centre; Inert Waste Recycling; Suitable New Waste Management Technologies.</p> <p>In the previous consultation the Council supported the proposed uses subject to certain mitigation measures being considered.</p> <p>Comments made by Environmental Health in previous consultations have been expanded to read as follows:</p> <p>Environmental Health comment: There are a number of residential premises in close proximity across the railway line to the South at Fen Road. Air Quality in terms of odour / dust, and noise will require careful</p>

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
			<p>Environmental Health comment: As this is a new development consider that it would be possible to ensure minimal environmental impact at the design stage by incorporating mitigation measures into the development.</p> <p>Conservation comment: Potential pollution into drain flowing into Cam. Limited space for landscape buffer.</p> <p>Support in principle the use of the site for a Recycling Centre and for inert waste recycling subject to the measures to mitigate the matters raised by Environmental Health.</p>		<p>consideration. However as this is a new development that will be built in accordance with the County's SPD "The Location & Design of Waste Management Facilities" and Planning Policy Statements on pollution it should be possible to ensure minimal environmental impact at the detailed design stage by incorporating engineering mitigation measures into the development.</p> <p>Support in principle the use of the site for a Recycling Centre and for inert waste recycling subject to the measures to mitigate the matters raised by Environmental Health and Conservation, which are to ensure the development has a minimal environmental impact by incorporating mitigation measures preferably at the design stage of the development.</p> <p>South Cambs request that a site-specific policy is included for this site and that this incorporates all the issues mentioned that would need to be addressed by a planning application.</p>
Map inset	Cambridge Northern	Policy SSP T2C Sustainable	This area has been included as a Strategic allocation in the MWCS	S	This is no longer a strategic allocation in the MWCS but has been identified in the MWSSP.

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
159	Fringe (Aggregates Railhead)	Transport Protection Zones	<p>under Policy CS21C as a Sustainable Transport Protection Zone (aggregates railhead) and under Policy SSP17 in the Site Specific Proposals DPD. In this later DPD it states, " It is recognised that whilst including the aggregates railhead in Cambridge Northern Fringe redevelopment proposals for the area may mean that the location of this facility may change. Whilst this may be the case, it is essential to ensure that this vital facility that the serves the Cambridge area is not lost, particularly given the growth that is anticipated in the immediate area."</p> <p>The Council supports the safeguarding in the short term of the railhead for aggregates given the needs of the A14 improvements but in the longer term such a use may not be appropriate for this site.</p> <p>The site is within both within S Cambs and Cambridge City. It is intended that a new plan be prepared jointly by S Cambs; Cambridge City and the</p>		<p>The comments made at the previous consultation remain valid - The Council supports the safeguarding in the short term of the railhead for aggregates given the needs of the A14 improvements but in the longer term such a use may not be appropriate for this site. The new site being proposed on Chesterton Sidings to the north of this railhead is within both within S Cambs and Cambridge City. It is intended that a new plan be prepared jointly by S Cambs; Cambridge City and the County Council for this area.</p> <p>The Council welcomes the safeguarding in the short term of the railhead for aggregates given the needs of the A14 improvements but in the longer term such a use may not be appropriate for this site.</p>

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
			County Council for this area. The Council welcomes the safeguarding in the short term of the railhead for aggregates given the needs of the A14 improvements but in the longer term such a use may not be appropriate for this site.		
Map inset 156	North of Chesterton Sidings, Cambridge	Policy SSP T1A Designated Sustainable Transport Zone. Policy SSP T2E Sustainable Transport Protection Zones		O	This is a new designation. The site is allocated as a Sustainable Transport Zone. In chapter 5 of the MWSSP it states that it is anticipated that over the Plan period Cambridgeshire and Peterborough could receive around 22% of the residual municipal and commercial/industrial waste exported from London to the East of England. (Paragraph 5.2) The aim is to encourage this waste to be imported by sustainable means into the region – in practice this is likely to be by rail. The new railhead in Cambridge Northern Fringe could be used to receive such waste. In the MWSSP it states in paragraph 5.6 that ‘ An opportunity has come forward for a new railhead in the Cambridge North Fringe.....In allocating this site it is recognised that there is an existing railhead just

Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009 Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	Current proposed Submission consultation 2010 S Cambs Proposed Response
					<p>south of the allocated site. This new site could supplement the existing facility or in the event of the existing facility closing, replace it. It is considered vital to have railhead provision in the Cambridge area, particularly given the growth that is anticipated in the immediate area. This includes the upgrade of the A14, which will require the import of a substantial amount of hard rock by rail. ‘</p> <p>Since it is agreed in the East of England Plan that this region will import London waste the use of this railhead could be the most environmentally acceptable means of receiving this waste into this area.</p> <p>No information is given for the likely traffic implications on local roads where it is already known that there is limited peak hour capacity</p> <p>The site is within Cambridge City but forms part of a larger area that expands into South Cambs. The City Council and South Cambs intend to jointly prepare a new plan for this area. The proposed new railway station and link to the busway will be considered this new plan and according to the County Council ‘. ...they would not be compromised by what is</p>

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
					<p>being suggested in the Minerals and Waste Plan.'</p> <p>In the online information provided by the County Council it states that '...This new railhead is allocated on land currently within the Cambridge Waste Water Treatment Works site. This allocation will not mean that the Waste Water Treatment Works will need to relocate and it will not prejudice any future improvement to the Works.'</p> <p>Environmental Health comments: This new railhead designation is proposed in addition to an existing aggregates railhead immediately adjacent to the South. It is understood that the new site could supplement the existing or eventually replace it. There are a number of residential premises in the form of caravan / mobile home sites immediately adjacent to the South.</p> <p>Notwithstanding the fact that there is an existing aggregates railhead it appears that the proposals are to accommodate not only local mineral and waste, in addition it may also receive residential municipal and commercial / industrial waste from London.</p>

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
					<p>Increasing the railhead capacity in this area has the potential to have a significant environmental impact in the area that may cause serious detriment to amenity. The cumulative impact may also be even greater with the new proposed train station in this location.</p> <p>There can be considerable environmental disruption related to a proposal of this nature due to potential incompatibility with existing residential uses in a relatively quiet urban location with low background noise levels (in absence of train movements) contrary to PPG24: Planning & Noise.</p> <p>As the future number of train / vehicle movements associated with railheads can be high, it is therefore essential that the access is good and the surrounding roads are capable of accepting high volumes of traffic.</p> <p>However, noise / vibration, dust, odour and possibly bioaerosol generation from aggregate sidings /and containerised waste transfer may have an adverse impact.</p> <p>Whilst implementation issues in the site specific plan identifies measures to protect amenity of</p>

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
					<p>local communities for odour, noise and dust as something to be addressed, in the absence of any detailed operational information, hours of use etc the significance of impact cannot be quantified. Due to the close proximity of sensitive receptors it is uncertain / unknown if it is practical or even possible to implement mitigation measures engineering or otherwise to adequately protect amenity and the health and wellbeing of residents.</p> <p>Due to serious concern about significant environmental impact and in particular noise impact, a precautionary approach should be taken. The site should not be allocated until significance of impact / effect is assessed by undertaking Health and Environmental Impact Assessments, respectively.</p> <p>On balance Environmental Health officers recommend a holding objection to this proposal.</p> <p>Object to the allocation until such time as the significance of impact / effect is assessed by undertaking Health and Environmental Impact Assessments respectively.</p>

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
					If the site were to be allocated within the plan South Cambs request that a site-specific policy be included for this site and that this incorporates all the issues mentioned that would need to be addressed by a planning application.
Inset map 47	Extension of Waste Management Park, Waterbeach	<p>Policy SSP W1K Site specific allocations for waste recycling and recovery facilities Potential uses include – Material Recovery Facility; In Vessel Composting; Energy from Waste; Inert Waste Recycling; Suitable New Waste Management Technologies;</p> <p>Policy SSP W8K Waste Consultation Areas</p>	<p>This site was not included in the previous consultations although the site was safeguarded for a waste use in December 2006. The proposed extension was received from the operator as part of the consultation in December 2006 – preferred options 1.</p> <p>This is a preferred site for waste recycling and recovery and extends the area of the Waste Management Park, which is an existing allocation within the Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Waste Local Plan.</p> <p>The site is also identified as one where a facility for Energy from Waste could be located. This use would be compatible with the other waste management facility uses proposed for this site.</p>	S	<p>No longer identified as a strategic allocation in the Core Strategy but is identified in the MWSSP.</p> <p>The site is identified in MWSSP as having potential for a number of different waste uses – Material Recovery Facility; In Vessel Composting; Energy from Waste; Inert Waste Recycling; Suitable New Waste Management Technologies.</p> <p>These uses were supported by the Council in the previous consultation subject to comments made by Environmental Health which have been slightly revised and now read as follows-</p> <p>Environmental Health Comments: There are a number of residential premises at Denny Abbey Cottages immediately off the A10 to the East.</p>

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
			<p>The draft Core Strategy DPD has included this site as a Strategic Allocation for Recycled and Secondary Aggregates.</p> <p>It should be noted that Denny Abbey, which is a scheduled ancient monument, is located in close proximity to this facility and further extensions of the waste park should take this into account.</p> <p>Environmental Health Comments: There are a number of residential premises at Denny Abbey Cottages immediately off the A10 to the East.</p> <p>Noise, dust, odour and bioaerosol generation have the potential to have an adverse impact on amenity, health and quality of life.</p> <p>The significance of impact / effect should be assessed by undertaking Health and Environmental Impact Assessments, respectively.</p> <p>Air quality should also be considered</p>		<p>Noise, dust, odour and bioaerosol generation have the potential to have an adverse impact on amenity, health and quality of life.</p> <p>The significance of any impacts should be assessed by undertaking Health and Environmental Impact Assessments, respectively.</p> <p>Air quality should also be considered in terms of any proposed energy from waste facility.</p> <p>No objection in principle.</p> <p>Appropriate buffer zones will be required in conjunction with careful design / layout of site operations and specific mitigation / abatement measures should be implemented to minimise impact and to protect health and wellbeing of residents.</p> <p>It should be noted that Denny Abbey, which is a scheduled ancient monument, is located in close proximity to this facility and further extensions of the waste park should take this into account.</p> <p>No objection subject to measures to</p>

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
			<p>in terms of any proposed energy from waste facility.</p> <p>No objection in principle.</p> <p>Appropriate buffer zones will be required in conjunction with careful design / layout of site operations and specific mitigation / abatement measures to minimise impact and to protect health and wellbeing of residents.</p> <p>No objection subject to measures to mitigate the matters raised by Environmental Health. The Council supports the identification of the site for a range of waste management facilities.</p>		<p>mitigate the matters raised by Environmental Health and Conservation. These are that the proposal should be subject to an environmental and health impact assessment and that any development must take account of the proximity of Denny Abbey.</p> <p>The Council supports the identification of the site for a range of waste management facilities.</p> <p>South Cambs requests that a site-specific policy be included in the MWSSP to include consideration of matters raised by Environmental Health and Conservation.</p>
Map inset 48	Great Wilbraham Quarry, Great Wilbraham	Policy SSP W1K Site specific allocations for waste recycling and recovery facilities Proposed use is Waste Recycling and Recovery (Inert waste recycling)	<p>This is the same boundary and site as was consulted upon in December 2006. Access to this site has been improved since this site was last considered with new slip roads onto the A 11.</p> <p>In the evaluation of this site the County Council has stated that "The</p>	O	<p>This is the same boundary as was consulted upon in 2008.</p> <p>The concerns expressed in the previous consultation by the Council are still valid.</p> <p>The MWSSP states for this allocated site that 'Detailed assessment of development impacts and mitigation techniques will be required as</p>

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
		Policy SSP W8Y Waste Consultation Areas	<p>Environment Agency has advised that the site is situated in a highly sensitive chalk aquifer.....stringent environmental risk assessment will need to be carried out and required levels of protection for an aquifer are likely to be high.”</p> <p>The Council remains concerned that this use has been located at a distance from where such waste is likely to be generated. Ie in the areas located in and on the edge of Cambridge or north of the city. The identification of this as a preferred site would appear to be encouraging the transporting of waste along unsuitable rural roads. The lorries would have to go through Fulbourn which is not an acceptable route. The Council would question the strategy devised for location of inert waste facilities if this site has been identified as a preferred location.</p> <p>Environmental Health comments: There is a question mark at the use of this site for landfill. The Environment</p>		<p>part of any individual development proposal through the planning process.’ This information needs to be incorporated into the MWSSP in order that there is clarification of the impacts of the development that need to be evaluated and what mitigation measures would need to be included in a planning application for this site. Without this more detailed information South Cambs cannot properly assess the site.</p> <p>In paragraph 8.27 it lists the issues that will have to be addressed within a planning application and these include The sensitivity of this site in terms of being located above a chalk aquifer and any pollution controls that will be required; Restoration proposals should secure the restoration of this chalk quarry in a way which is satisfactory in terms of visual impact and reflects the surrounding form of the landscape in this sensitive site; HCV routeing agreement; Noise and dust mitigation; Ecological management of restored land.</p> <p>Whilst welcoming that these issues should be addressed within an application the MWSSP should include these matters in a site specific</p>

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
			<p>Agency have highlighted that this site is close to a source protection zone on the chalk aquifer. There is therefore a potential for contamination to groundwater. The nearest residential is within 500 metres of the site therefore noise and dust impacts should be evaluated.</p> <p>Conservation comments: Poor access but this has been improved by the Camgrain facility being built in the adjacent field. Substantial landscaping required to integrate the facility into a very open landscape.</p> <p>Object. The identification of this site as preferred for inert waste recycling and landfill is of concern to the Council. The strategy for inert waste facilities must be questioned by this Council if this is site is the best one to serve the Cambridge area. Much of the inert waste that is likely to be generated will arise from development, which is located in and on the edge of Cambridge or to the north of the</p>		<p>policy for this allocation in order that they have more weight.</p> <p>Object. The identification of this site as preferred for inert waste recycling and landfill is of concern to the Council. The strategy for inert waste facilities must be questioned by this Council and in particular whether this site is the best one to serve the Cambridge area. Much of the inert waste that is likely to be generated will arise from development, most of which is located in and on the edge of Cambridge or to the north of the city.</p> <p>Whilst accepting that a study has been carried out by the County looking for suitable additional sites for waste facilities this site would need to take into account the concerns of Environmental Health and Conservation if it is to be allocated as an inert recycling and landfill site. The concerns of Environmental Health are that any the development should ensure that measures are taken to protect the groundwater from pollution since the site is close to the protection zone on the chalk aquifer Conservation is concerned that the</p>

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
			city. Whilst accepting that a study has been carried out by the County looking for suitable additional sites for waste facilities this site would need to take into account the concerns of Environmental Health and Conservation if it is to be allocated as an inert recycling and landfill site.		facility would need substantial landscaping to integrate it into its surrounding.
Map inset 55	Needingworth Quarry, Needingworth	Policy SSP W1S Site specific allocations for waste recycling and recovery facilities Proposed use is Waste Recycling and Recovery (Inert waste recycling) Policy SSP W8O Waste Consultation Areas		O	This is a new proposal in the MWDP, which is located within Huntingdon District Council. The site is identified for inert waste recycling. The Council is concerned at the traffic implications of this proposal to the existing road network. The allocation of this site for inert waste recycling would therefore impact upon South Cambs. There will need to be routeing agreements so that the lorries carrying the inert waste avoid going through the villages of Willingham or Over. Whilst it is recognised that this issue of HCV routeing will have to be addressed within a planning application the Council is concerned that insufficient information is available within the MWSSP.

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
					<p>The inclusion of this allocation further emphasises the need for a bypass for Willingham. South Cambs request that a site-specific policy be included for Needingworth to include the need for a routeing agreement and to include mitigation to reduce the environmental impact of this development on the surrounding area.</p> <p>Environmental Health Comments: Noise, dust, odour and possibly bioaerosols may have an adverse impact and will require careful assessment.</p> <p>Appropriate buffer zones will be required in conjunction with careful design / layout of site operations and specific mitigation / abatement measures to minimise impact and to protect health and wellbeing of residents.</p> <p>As this is a new development it should be possible to ensure minimal environmental impact at the design stage by incorporating intrinsic mitigation measures. Support traffic routeing agreement proposed</p> <p>Object. The identification of this site for inert waste recycling and landfill is of</p>

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
					concern to the Council. If this site is to be included in the MWSSP South Cambs request that a site-specific policy be included for Needingworth to include the need for a routeing agreement or a Willingham bypass and to include mitigation to reduce the environmental impact of this development on the surrounding area.
Map inset 56	Northstowe	<p>Policy SSP W1T Site specific allocations for waste recycling and recovery facilities Proposed use is Waste Recycling and Recovery (Temporary Inert waste recycling)</p> <p>Policy SSP W8AQ Waste Consultation Areas</p>	<p>This is the same area of search as was consulted upon in December 2006</p> <p>It is to be welcomed that Northstowe is the preferred site for a temporary inert waste recycling facility. There is no indication of the potential scale of the facility. It should be noted that as the different phases of Northstowe come forward for development it might be that a number of small recycling facility may be more appropriate than one large one.</p> <p>The facility should not locate so that it impacts upon the existing communities of Longstanton, Oakington or Rampton Drift.</p>	S	<p>This is the same site that was consulted upon in 2008 and the Council supported this use in principle.</p> <p>Support in principle recognising that it may be more appropriate to have a number of smaller sites to serve the development of Northstowe and that the location of these sites should change as phased development progresses to minimise adverse impact.</p> <p>Support would be subject to the measures to mitigate the matters raised by Environmental Health. These are that noise, odour and dust must be assessed and appropriate mitigation taken to protect existing residents in the area and the new residents of Northstowe, as the phased development progresses. This may include,</p>

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
			<p>Environmental Health comment: Support temporary inert waste recycling to accommodate construction waste from Northstowe. This should help minimise vehicle movements.</p> <p>However noise, odour and dust must be assessed and appropriate mitigation taken to protect existing residents in the area and the new residents of Northstowe, as the phased development progresses. This may include, appropriate buffer zones in conjunction with sensitive design and mitigation of site operations.</p> <p>Recommend that location of temporary inert sites should change location as phased development progresses to minimise adverse impact.</p> <p>Support in principle recognising that it may be more appropriate to have a number of smaller sites to serve the development of</p>		<p>appropriate buffer zones in conjunction with sensitive design and mitigation of site operations.</p> <p>The facility should be located so that it does not impact upon the existing communities of Longstanton, Oakington or Rampton Drift.</p> <p>South Cambs request that a site specific policy be included in the MWSSP to include measure to mitigate the matters raised by Environmental Health and to take account of the impact to the existing communities as mentioned above.</p>

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
			<p>Northstowe. Support would be subject to the measures to mitigate the matters raised by Environmental Health.</p> <p>The facility should not be located so that it impacts upon the existing communities of Longstanton, Oakington or Rampton Drift.</p>		
Map inset 57	Northstowe Area 2	<p>Policy CS 16 Household Recycling Centres Broad location listed</p> <p>Policy SSP W1U Site specific allocations for waste recycling and recovery facilities Proposed use is Waste Recycling and Recovery –a Household Recycling Centre</p> <p>Policy SSP W8AQ Waste Consultation</p>	<p>Two sites are included for Northstowe.</p> <p>Area 1 is the area of search included in the Preferred options 1 consultation stage in December 2006 and is considered separately in this schedule.</p> <p>Area 2 is a preferred area of search for a local recycling centre. The boundaries reflect the current Masterplan and show the facility in the proposed employment area of the mixed-use scheme. This is to be welcomed although it needs to be noted that the Masterplan has not been approved.</p> <p>The site is not identified in the table</p>	S	<p>The site is listed in Policy CS16 as a broad location for a Household Recycling Centre.</p> <p>The site is identified as having potential use for a Household Recycling Centre. The Council support this allocation.</p> <p>Environmental Health has commented in previous consultations about the HRC - Noise and odour assessment for any recycling facility will be required and mitigation provided to protect amenity of existing and future residents of Northstowe. Whilst recognising that this is mentioned as an issue to be addressed within the planning application (Paragraph 8.45) the Council consider that more detailed information should be included in the MWSSP.</p> <p>The Council had in previous consultations</p>

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
		Areas	<p>accompanying Preferred Option SSP10 as one where energy from waste could be considered.</p> <p>Environmental Health comment: Amended comments from 2006. There is the potential for a Combined Heat and Power plant at Northstowe and Northstowe should be included as a site suitable for a facility for energy from waste.</p> <p>It should be possible to ensure minimal environmental impact at the design stage.</p> <p>Noise and odour assessment for any recycling facility will be required and mitigation provided to protect amenity of existing and future residents of Northstowe.</p> <p>Support in principle subject to the measures to mitigate the matters raised by Environmental Health. Any recycling facility must also ensure that settlements adjoining Northstowe are not adversely</p>		<p>recommended that the site be considered for an 'Energy from Waste' facility. On site energy generation from sustainable sources is still being explored, as it will be an essential requirement to ensure that development will comply with Code 6 of the Building Regulations. Potential long-term sustainable power sources are still being explored by the promoters and Cambridgeshire Horizons. At this stage it is not appropriate to require that it be power by a waste derived fuel.</p> <p>Support in principle subject to the measures to mitigate the matters raised by Environmental Health which are that at the design stage consideration is given to minimise the environmental impact of the development. Any household-recycling centre must also ensure that settlements adjoining Northstowe are not adversely impacted by noise or odour. The Northstowe Area Action acknowledges the suitability of a proposed general employment area at the northern end of the new town site (adjoining the proposed Park & Ride site).</p> <p>South Cambs request that a site-specific</p>

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
			<p>impacted by noise or odour. The Northstowe Area Action acknowledges the suitability of a proposed general employment area at the northern end of the new town site (adjoining the proposed Park & Ride site).</p> <p>Also Northstowe should be considered for a facility for Energy from Waste under Preferred Option SSP10.</p>		<p>policy be included in the MWSSP to cover the issues identified above relating to the impact of the facility on adjoining settlements and mitigation measures needed.</p>
Inset map 60	South of Addenbrookes Access Road	<p>Policy CS 16 Household Recycling Centres Broad location listed</p> <p>Policy SSP W1X Site specific allocations for waste recycling and recovery facilities Proposed use is Waste Recycling and Recovery –a Household Recycling Centre</p>	<p>This site was not included in the previous consultations. The preferred site for a recycling centre in Cambridge Southern Fringe in the previous consultation in December was the Bayer Crop Science site in Hauxton. Following this consultation a number of suggestions were made near the M11 area of Cambridge as alternatives to this proposal. This site was one of many considered as an alternative to the Hauxton site. Subject to reduced site boundaries this is the preferred area of search for a recycling centre in Cambridge Southern Fringe.</p>	S	<p>See comments from earlier consultation as still valid.</p> <p>No objection subject to measures to mitigate the matters raised by Environmental Health. These are that appropriate buffer zones will be required in conjunction with careful design / layout of site operations and specific mitigation / abatement measures to minimise impact and to protect health and wellbeing of residents. As this is a new development it should be possible to ensure minimal environmental impact at the design stage by incorporating intrinsic mitigation measures.</p>

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
		Policy SSP W8AV Waste Consultation Areas	<p>The County has provided additional information on the impact of this facility on the surrounding area and has stated the following –</p> <p>‘Any site would be extensively landscaped which would greatly reduce any negative visual impact</p> <p>The operations would be enclosed which would control local environmental impacts such as windblown litter, noise, dust, odours and light</p> <p>The site would be large enough to manage traffic on site which would reduce the potential for congestion on local roads, and the bulk of activity connected with the site would take place outside peak hours. The only vehicles accessing the site would be cars/vans owned by local residents and a small number of lorries servicing the site (approximately 14 lorries per day). This site would not be used by the City Council's refuse and</p>		<p>The Council supports the identification of the site for a recycling centre and welcomes the additional information provided by the County as regards how the facility will be designed to reduce its impact on the surrounding area.</p> <p>South Cambs request that a site-specific policy be included in the MWSSP. This policy should include both the mitigation measures raised by Environmental Health and the design issues outlined by the County to reduce the impact of the facility on the surrounding area.</p>

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
			<p>recycling kerbside vehicles.</p> <p>Environmental Health Comments: Proposed site and facilities will be to the South of the Glebe Farm development. Possible conflict.</p> <p>Noise and possibly odour may have an adverse impact.</p> <p>Appropriate buffer zones will be required in conjunction with careful design / layout of site operations and specific mitigation / abatement measures to minimise impact and to protect health and wellbeing of residents.</p> <p>As this is a new development it should be possible to ensure minimal environmental impact at the design stage by incorporating intrinsic mitigation measures.</p> <p>No objection subject to measures to mitigate the matters raised by Environmental Health. The Council supports the identification of the</p>		

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
			site for a recycling centre and welcomes the additional information provided by the County as regards how the facility will be designed to reduce its impact on the surrounding area.		
Map inset W2	Addenbrookes Hospital, Cambridge (Waste)	CS 19 The Location of Hazardous Waste Facilities – Resources Recovery and Landfill Type of facility Replacement Clinical Waste Facility (energy from waste) CS30 Waste Consultation area	The site is the same as was consulted upon in December 2006. Environmental Health comments: An environmental impact assessment should be carried out to ensure potential emissions are not detrimental to public health. Support. This waste management facility is based on the Hospital's needs and can be supported.	S	This site is a strategic allocation in the MWCS. It was noted in October 2008 that the proposal for a waste facility at Addenbrookes was one that English Nature (EN) had identified as needing a full Appropriate Assessment (AA) to be carried out to test whether if the site were used it would significantly affect any Ramsar or European wildlife sites. This assessment has now been carried out and it has been found that no mitigation measures need to be undertaken The site was included in previous consultations and was supported by the Council. Support. This waste management facility is based on the Hospital's needs and can be supported.
Map inset 72	Cottenham	Policy SSP W2B Site specific allocation for inert waste landfill	Site proposed for extraction of engineering clay and then for inert landfill and restoration back to agriculture in PO2 consultation in	O	The proposal for extraction of engineering clay is not included in the proposed Submission MWDP.

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
		disposal Policy SSP W8K Waste consultation areas	2009. This site was included in the MWDP Preferred Options 2 consultation as a strategic allocation for sand and gravel extraction. The extent of the allocation doubled in size and the Council had expressed its concern in its response to the County in 2008. It has now been proposed as an allocation for engineering clay. The proposers of the allocation state that sites should have been allocated for clay within the MWDP because with the planned growth in Cambridge Sub-region there will be a need for clay. They also say that once excavated the site could be used for inert landfill and then restored. This larger scale of operation with both clay and sand and gravel extraction is likely to generate increased traffic and the Council would want this mineral traffic to be kept away from the B roads and onto the A10. The likely additional traffic		However the inert waste landfill proposal has been retained. There is a site allocation for sand and gravel extraction (Site M1A) and the site for inert landfill covers approximately the southern half of the sand extraction site. It is adjacent to the west side of the existing workings. Comments made by Environmental Health in earlier consultations remain valid. The Council is concerned at the implications of this allocation on the road network. The Council would want this traffic to be kept away from the B roads and onto the A10. The likely additional traffic movements should not adversely affect the residents of Cottenham or the Travellers on the nearby Smithy Fen. Objection until such time as the County provides more detailed information about the site so that this Council can evaluate it for the proposed additional allocation for inert landfill. Without details of vulnerable receptors and an environmental and health impact assessment it has not been possible

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
			<p>movements should not adversely affect the residents of Cottenham or the Travellers on the nearby Smithy Fen.</p> <p>Environmental Health comments: The proposed area contains several isolated extraction pits which have been filled with unknown material at locations (548512, 270376); (547850, 269268); (547844, 270761) and (548119, 270902). These should be taken into consideration should further extraction be undertaken in this area. These areas have been highlighted for further investigation under part 2a of Contaminated Land Regulations.</p> <p>There are a number of sensitive receptors adjacent to the site; residential development including a travellers' / caravan site at Smithy Fen is in close proximity to the area identified. Without details of vulnerable receptors and an environmental and health impact assessment it is difficult to evaluate this site.</p>		<p>to evaluate this new allocation.</p> <p>South Cambs supports the non-inclusion of the proposal for extracting clay at Cottenham from the MWDP.</p>

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
			<p>Object to this site.</p> <p>The Council is concerned that the site is being considered for clay extraction, which will result in additional impacts on the local road network and on the nearby communities at Smithy Fen and within Cottenham. If clay is to be extracted and removed from the site as well as the sand and gravel the impact is likely to be far greater. Consideration must also be given to the filled extraction pits as highlighted by Environmental Health.</p> <p>The County must provide further information about the site before this Council can evaluate the site for the proposed additional allocation. Without details of vulnerable receptors and an environmental and health impact assessment it is difficult to evaluate this site.</p>		

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
Map inset 77	Balsham	Policy SSP W7B Waste Water Treatment Works Safeguarding Areas	<p>There is a new policy (Preferred option SSP15) to protect existing WWTW from encroachment from sensitive receptors and from the increasing pressure from non-waste management proposals. The safeguarded areas have been defined around the whole of existing sites plus an additional buffer zone of some 400metres – this may vary depending on local circumstances.</p> <p>Such safeguarding is to be welcomed.</p>	S	<p>In the MWCS it is recognised that waste water treatment works are likely to create odours that may be a nuisance to people living and working nearby and therefore a safeguarding area is to be created around all works with a capacity exceeding 2000 population equivalent. Within the safeguarded area <i>‘there will be a presumption against allowing any new development which involves odour sensitive development. Odour sensitive development includes buildings normally occupied by people and would include houses, offices, industrial units, sport and recreational buildings.’</i> The safeguarding area will extend to 400 metres around the boundary of the site. There is no reasoned justification for this distance and there would appear to be no evidence presented to explain why 400 metres and not another arbitrary distance has been identified. As this policy will have the effect of blighting land in the vicinity of WWTWs and the prevailing winds are from the south west, the County Council should review the need for all land in the vicinity of WWTWs to be kept free of development.</p> <p>Issue covered in main report.</p>
Map inset 78	Bassingbourn	Policy SSP W7C			
Map inset 80	Bourn	Policy SSP W7E			
Map inset 87	Duxford	Policy SSP W7L			
Map inset 90	Foxton	Policy SSP W7P			
Map inset 91	Gamlingay	Policy SSP W7Q			
Map inset 93	Haslingfield	Policy SSP W7S			

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
Map inset 97	Linton	Policy SSP W7W			<p>Environmental Health comments: Support the principle of WWTW safeguarding areas that can provide a buffer to mitigate the potential for adverse environmental impact associated with sewage treatment.</p> <p>The strength of the odours from a Sewage Treatment Works (or Pumping Station) at any particular time will depend on a number of factors, including sewage treatment method, any odour abatement technology incorporated, the distance from the source, wind strength and prevailing wind direction and ambient temperature. The concentration of odour will normally diminish as the distance from the source increases but it can be indiscriminate and difficult to predict. Noise and fly nuisance can also be an issue.</p> <p>The DEFRA Code of Practice on Odour Nuisance from Sewage Treatment Works states that differing circumstances between individual works makes a standard distance “cordon sanitaire” difficult.</p> <p>However the code acknowledges that individual buffer zones can offer a practical means of preventing the exacerbation of existing</p>
Map inset 101	Melbourn	Policy SSP W7AA			
Map inset 104	Over	Policy SSP W7AD			
Map inset 105	Papworth Everard				
Map inset 108	Royston	Policy SSP W7AH			
Map inset 109	Sawston	Policy SSP W7AI			
Map inset 117	Teversham	Policy SSP W7AQ			

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
Map inset 118	Uttons Drove	Policy SSP W7AR			problems and the occurrence of new ones. It is agreed that 400 metres is an arbitrary distance but it is probably precautionary and based on their experience of odour from the Sewage Treatment Works and Pumping Stations. There is a history of odour complaints in the village of Milton downwind of the Cambridge WWTW, which is well over 400m away.
Map inset 119	Waterbeach	Policy SSP W7AS			
Map insert 84	Cambridge	Policy SSP W7I		S	Included in previous consultation but not commented upon because in Cambridge City. The safeguarding area extends into South Cambs. Environmental Health comments: Please see general comments above for all the Waste Water Treatment safeguarding within South Cambridgeshire. Support in principle but support request that there should be a reasoned justification included in the MWDP as to why an arbitrary distance of 400 metres has been included as a buffer zone to such areas and why areas which are upwind of WWTWs are included. Support in principle but there should be a

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
					<p>reasoned justification included in the MWDP as to why an arbitrary distance of 400 metres has been included as a buffer zone to such areas and why areas which are upwind of WWTWs are included.</p> <p>Each site for residential should be considered having regard to local circumstance on a case-by-case basis and subject to a representative odour assessment.</p>
Map inset 126	Bridgefoot Quarry, Flint Cross	Policy SSP W8 F Waste Consultation Areas	<p>Preferred site specific option for waste recycling and recovery facilities – Inert Waste Recycling</p> <p>This is a larger site than was consulted upon in December 2006.</p> <p>The Council is concerned at the access to this site and at the likely traffic that will be generated locally by this use on the site. The assessment of the site by the County Council highlights that there is an accident cluster at the A505/ Flint Cross junction.</p> <p>The site is located some distance</p>	-	No longer identified as site for waste recycling and recovery facilities. It now is in a use for waste and has a waste consultation area identified around it.

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
			<p>from where the inert waste is likely to be generated i.e. from development which is located in and on the edge of Cambridge or to the north of the city. The lorries carrying the waste will travel along major roads to this site and the Council is concerned at the additional traffic generated. Inert waste should be dealt with in locations closer to where it is generated. The fact that this site is proposed as a preferred site after a strategy for inert waste recycling has been produced is of concern to the Council.</p> <p>Environmental Health comments: In the issues and options consultation in January 2006 it was stated that there is a private water supply close to this site and potentially sensitive receptors that could be affected by noise from the proposed facility. Mitigation measures or noise conditions could be used to prevent any issues arising. These previous comments continue to apply; further information available is that current works on site operate</p>		

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
			<p>within acceptable levels, as plant is located at the bottom of the void.</p> <p>Object. The identification of this site as preferred for inert waste recycling and landfill is of concern to the Council. The strategy for inert waste facilities must be questioned by this Council if this is site is the best one to serve the Cambridge area. Much of the inert waste that is likely to be generated will arise from development, which is located in and on the edge of Cambridge or to the north of the city.</p> <p>Whilst accepting that a study has been carried out by the County looking for suitable additional sites for waste facilities this site would need to take into account the concerns of Environmental Health if it is to be allocated as an inert recycling and landfill site.</p>		
Map inset 127	Cottenham Skips, Cottenham	Policy SSP W8L Waste Consultation area	Waste facilities both existing and allocated will have a waste consultation area around them	S	South Cambs supports the use of Waste Consultation Areas.

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
Map inset 129	Dawson Plant Hire, Swavesey	Policy SSP W8P Waste Consultation area	extending 250 metres. If a planning application is made in this area the Waste Planning Authority must be consulted except where it is for a householder application or for an advertisement. Additional sites within South Cambridgeshire have been identified around permitted reserves and operational sites and are to be welcomed.		
Map inset 138	Lesanna Farm, Haslingfield	Policy SSP W8AE Waste Consultation area			
Map inset 144	Milton (Landfill)	Policy SSP W8AM Waste Consultation area			
Map inset 147	Pet Crematorium. Thriplow, A505	Policy SSP W8AR Waste Consultation area			
Map inset 152	Thriplow HRC	Policy SSP W8BD Waste Consultation area			
Map inset 128	Cowley Road	Policy SSP W8N Waste Consultation area		S	<p>Consultation area is within South Cambs over Chesterton Sidings.</p> <p>Environmental Health comments: Support consultation area approach for this site. However see comments on North of Chesterton Sidings, Cambridge as Designated Sustainable Transport Zone as it is possible that this site may have a wider indirect / associated impact as it may receive waste through the proposed railhead.</p>

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
					Support principle of waste consultation area for this site.
Map inset 149	South Of Worsted Lodge, A11, Pampisford	Policy SSP W8AW Waste Consultation area	<p>Site proposed as a Waste Transfer site in PO2 2009 consultations.</p> <p>Site is to west of A11. Currently subject to a planning application being considered by the County Council. South Cambs has submitted its comments on this application which were as follows-</p> <p>1 - Site outside development framework and within rural countryside. Proposed use is not essential use in countryside.</p> <p>2 – Proposed change of use of land to recycling and processing of inert road materials is inappropriate development in the countryside.</p> <p>Environmental Health comments: Nearest residential is Chalkhill Farm to the west and Worsted Lodge to the North, approximately 600 and 400 metres away respectively.</p> <p>The site has previously operated on a temporary basis with a waste transfer</p>	-	Planning permission was granted for this site and therefore a waste consultation area has been identified around it in the MWDP.

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
			<p>type use in the form of road construction compound or similar. I understand a full planning application has been recently submitted for use as a waste transfer site.</p> <p>Due to relatively distant buffer zones from residential and proximity to A11 / junctions noise will be masked to a degree by traffic noise.</p> <p>No objections in principle.</p> <p>We would support this site as it has previously operated in a similar manner without complaint. It should be possible to have appropriate buffer zones with careful design / layout of site operations and specific mitigation / abatement measures to minimise noise impact to an acceptable level.</p> <p>Object to the site since it is located in rural countryside, outside of the development framework and is not an essential or appropriate use for this location.</p>		

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
PO2 2009	Land West of A10, Hauxton	Area of Search for a (Household) Recycling Centre to serve south Cambridge and outlying villages	Object to locating a HRC on any of the land put forward since it is highly unsuitable being at too great a distance from the population, which would be using the facility and it would be in a sensitive ecological area.	S	This proposal is not included in the proposed Submission MWDP. South Cambs supports the non-inclusion of this site from the MWDP.
PO2 2009	Land at Chesterton Fen	(Household) Recycling Centre for north Cambridge and inert waste recycling centre Site: 7.4 ha Access: from Fen Road, Chesterton	Object to this site. The site is unsuitable for locating either a HRC or for inert recycling facilities as highlighted in the comments made by Environmental Health. It is in the Green Belt in a sensitive location and very close to residential properties.	S	This proposal is not included in the proposed Submission MWDP. South Cambs supports the non-inclusion of this site from the MWDP.
PO2 2009	Waterbeach	Relocate aggregate sidings from Chesterton and to facilitate London waste rail transfer	This is an alternative site to the uses proposed at Chesterton Sidings for freight and aggregate yard. Policy TR/5 Rail Freight Interchanges promote use of rail for freight movements – in principle this proposals is acceptable however concerns have been made by the Council's Conservation officers regarding the proximity of Denny Abbey to the proposed railhead and the likely impact on the Abbey and its setting.	S	This proposal is not included in the proposed Submission MWDP. South Cambs supports the non-inclusion of this site from the MWDP.

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
			<p>New road will pass close to and south of Denny Abbey, which is a Scheduled Ancient Monument. The setting of the abbey would need to be protected.</p> <p>Note that road passes through areas of Flood Zone 2/3 and that access to A10 is limited to left in / left out, which is sensible.</p> <p>Environmental Health comments: There are a number of residential premises to the North with Bannold Box Cottages x 6, New Farm and Bannold Crossing Cottage. Hinge Farm is to the East. The closest residential premises are approximately 70 to 100 metres away from the proposed site.</p> <p>There can be considerable environmental disruption related to a development of this nature due to potential incompatibility with existing residential uses in a quiet rural location with low background noise levels (in absence of train movements). As the number of</p>		

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
			<p>vehicle movements associated with aggregate depots can be high, it is therefore essential that the access is good and the surrounding roads are capable of accepting high volumes of traffic. The proposed traffic route appears to avoid direct passing the frontages of existing residential.</p> <p>However, noise / vibration, dust, odour and possibly bioaerosol generation from aggregate sidings /and containerised waste transfer may have an adverse impact on amenity, health and quality of life.</p> <p>The significance of impact / effect should be assessed by undertaking Health and Environmental Impact Assessments, respectively.</p> <p>Air quality associated with traffic movements may also need consideration and assessment.</p> <p>Appropriate buffer zones in conjunction with careful design / layout of site operations and specific</p>		

			Preferred options 2 Consultations in 2008 and 2009		Current proposed Submission consultation 2010
Site/ Map ref	Name	Policy ref and number	Summary of S Cambs DC comments	S/ O	S Cambs Proposed Response
			<p>mitigation / abatement measures will be required to minimise impact and to protect health and wellbeing of residents.</p> <p>There is concern about the location of this site and due to the close proximity of residential premises, 24-hour use is unlikely to be acceptable. Restricted hours of operation for the site would be recommended: operations / vehicle and train arrivals / deliveries restricted to 0800hrs to 1800hrs Mon –Fri, 0800 – 1300 hrs Saturday, No use on Sundays / Public Holidays.</p> <p>Where adverse environmental effects cannot be adequately controlled or mitigated through the design of proposals or the attachment of conditions, planning permission should be refused.</p> <p>The Council objects to the proposed Railhead at Waterbeach, due to the proximity of the access road to Denny Abbey and the extra traffic that it would add to the A10.</p>		

