

## SOUTH CAMBRIDGESHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL

**REPORT TO:** Planning Committee

6 July 2011

**AUTHOR/S:** Executive Director (Operational Services) / Corporate Manager (Planning and New Communities)

### APPEALS AGAINST PLANNING DECISIONS AND ENFORCEMENT ACTION

#### Purpose

1. To inform Members about appeals against planning decisions and enforcement action, and proposed hearing and inquiry dates, as at Date report written. Summaries of recent decisions of importance are also reported, for information.

- **Decisions Notified By The Secretary of State**

Ref. no.	Details	Decision	Decision Date
S/1477/10/F	Mr & Mrs Morgan 19 Corbett Street Cottenham Single storey extension to rear	Dismissed	08/06/11
S/1859/10/F	Mr & Mrs Tilley 45 North Road Great Abington Replacement dwelling	Dismissed	08/06/11
S/0920/10/F	Mr & Mrs G Jennings Grove Farm Harlton Road Haslingfield Erection of Agricultural Store Building	Allowed	08/06/11
PLAENF.4367	Mr T Aresti Odsey Grange Baldock Road Guilden Morden Erection of Garage	Dismissed	09/06/11

- **Appeals received**

Ref. no.	Details	Decision	Decision Date
S/0016/11/F	Ms K Williams 113 Cambridge Road Wimpole	Appealing Condition	06/05/11
S/1745/10/F	Mrs L Swift 17 Long Road Comberton Extension and Alteration to Garage to Form Annexe	Refused	12/05/11
S/1238/10/F	Mr J Atherton	Refused	25/05/11

	Upper Farmhouse 151 Alms Hill Bourn Erection of Timber Post and Rail Fence with Gates		
S/1240/10/F	Mr J Atherton Upper Farmhouse 151 Alms Hill Bourn Demolition of garden wall	Refused	25/05/11
S/1793/10/LB	Ms L Boscawen The Grange, St Michaels Longstanton Internal and External alterations	Refused	25/05/11
S/0048/11/F	Mr & Mrs A Meikle 41 Chestnut Close Haslingfield Erection of Dwelling	Refused	06/06/11

- **Summaries of important decisions**

**Mr and Mrs G Jennings – Erection of an agricultural store building – Part OSP No. 0051, Harlton Road, Haslingfield – Appeal allowed**

4. The Planning Committee refused the application because of the effect of the proposed development on the openness and visual amenities of the Green Belt.
5. At the time of his visit, the planning inspector commented that he could see four horses on the site. He has therefore regarded the proposed development as being for recreational purposes and was required to consider whether the building should be regarded as an essential facility. He was satisfied that there is a genuine need for the building and that it would therefore not be inappropriate development in the Green Belt
6. The inspector found this would be a relatively small building and, while it would be close to the road, it would lie behind a hedge with a mix of deciduous and coniferous planting several metres high. It would thus not be prominent in open countryside or detrimental to the appearance of the Green Belt. He was therefore satisfied that the development would not result in a harmful loss of openness or visual amenity and would thus be acceptable in relation to policy GB/2 of the South Cambridgeshire Development Control Policies DPD 2007 and the advice in PPG2.
7. The appeal was therefore allowed subject to no development commencing until a sample of the colour of the external finish of the building being submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority.

- **Local Inquiry and Informal Hearing dates scheduled before the next meeting on 1 June 2011.**

8. None

- **Appeals withdrawn or postponed:**

9. None

- **Advance notification of future Local Inquiry and Informal Hearing Dates**  
*(subject to postponement or cancellation)*

10. None

**Background Papers:** the following background papers were used in the preparation of this report:

- None

**Contact Officer:** Mr N Blazeby  
Telephone: (01954) 713165