

This Council publicly recognises the unique contribution that the Marshall Group has made to South Cambridgeshire for more than 100 years, both in economic terms by the provision of local employment opportunities and the supply of goods and services, but also by its direct and indirect investment in the local community, and calls upon the Chairman and Chief Executive to mark this special relationship in the form of a commemorative illuminated scroll.

Ray Manning  
Sebastian Kindersley

**From:** Sebastian Kindersley  
**To:** Adams Holly  
**Subject:** Motion For Council

**Sent:** 12/07/2010 12:15:35



Message

This Council agrees to immediately cancel the competitive tendering exercise for Responsive Repairs on the basis that the exercise is costing this Council money unnecessarily and destabilising a profitable arm of the Council. Furthermore the process to date has been substantially flawed potentially exposing this Council to further unnecessary cost.

Proposed by  
**Sebastian Kindersley**

**Cambridgeshire County Councillor - Gamlingay Division**  
**South Cambridgeshire District Council - Gamlingay Ward**

[www.facebook.com](http://www.facebook.com)  
[www.sebastiankindersley.com](http://www.sebastiankindersley.com)  
01767 651982

Final sentence was removed by Councillor Kindersley prior to publication of the Council agenda.

South Cambridgeshire District Council  
Council Meeting 22<sup>nd</sup> July 2010

Notice of Motion

Council requests and requires that written replies be provided for elected members and the public in answer to questions submitted at Council Meetings and that these answers be recorded in the minutes of the meeting.

Standing in the name of Councillor Mike Mason

**Adams Holly**

---

**From:** Cllr Shelton  
**Sent:** 09 November 2010 10:01  
**To:** Adams Holly  
**Subject:** Motion  
**Importance:** High

Hi Holly,

I would like to put forward a motion at the next full council meeting please;

We would like to request that the Council support and forward to central government the following proposal for a change in planning legislation, viz.:

That a designation in planning law be created of a "Dynamic Local Centre". The designation to be applied for, by a specified process, by communities wishing to protect their local shopping centre. A dynamic local centre will be deemed to have the necessary food retail provision to serve their local catchment area therefore movement from a non-food use to a food use in Class A1 of the Use Classes Order 2010 will require planning permission.

The following is appendix;

#### Definition of the Dynamic Local Centre

The designation would apply to a village centre, small market town or neighbourhood shopping centre which consists of a variety of predominantly small local retail enterprises which

- ensure a diversity of produce and products for sale. Generally a greater diversity of products is available from a multitude of small individual businesses such as butchers, bakers, greengrocers and newsagents than from a one-stop convenience store.
- provide a threshold level of services making the shopping centre relatively self-sufficient and thus reducing journeys, especially car journeys, for shopping.
- source a high proportion of their produce locally, via local producers and wholesalers, and contribute to a lower carbon footprint by moving goods smaller distances.
- are fully integrated into the life of the community, offering friendly and personal service, of particular benefit to the elderly and those without cars; offer deliveries not based on internet ordering; offer service to the

community by supporting local events and not-for-profit ventures by displaying posters, selling tickets, fundraising items etc, and offering sponsorship to local events.

- keep money spent circulating within the local community via local suppliers and local employers.

#### How the Dynamic Local Centre would operate

Where a dynamic local centre has been designated, proposals for duplication of retail services within that centre would require planning permission. This would give the opportunity to assess the likely impact of the proposal on the viability and sustainability of the local centre.

#### Why we believe the change is needed

A recent letter from Tesco informed the parish councillors and residents of Great Shelford that they would shortly open a Tesco Express store in the village centre. The proposal has caused a large number of parishioners to express opposition to the opening of the store, based on fears that the existing small shops would be adversely affected, leading to closures and the loss of much-valued local businesses. Great frustration has been expressed that there is no avenue for opposing the store's opening. Because the opening involves no change of use, there is indeed no current avenue for opposing it within planning law, in spite of a number of policy documents within the LDF which are specifically designed to protect Rural Centres in exactly the way we seek. None of these policy documents can be invoked unless there is some designation, such as a Dynamic Local Centre, which is part of the statutory planning process.

Not only are many of our own parishioners concerned about the issue, but those in Little Shelford, Stapleford and other surrounding villages with little shopping have also expressed concern, as have a number of Trumpington residents.

A cursory glance at the website [tescopoly.org](http://tescopoly.org) makes it plain that, all across the country, communities are attempting to fight the loss of local small shops in the face of the arrival of supermarkets and convenience stores opened by the large supermarket chains.

We therefore believe that this change would be a valuable and popular addition to planning law, increasing local planning control.

Ben

## Adams Holly

---

**From:** Cllr Harford  
**Sent:** 18 January 2011 10:22  
**To:** Adams Holly  
**Subject:** Motion to Council 27 January 2011

Dear Holly

I would like to put the following motion to council:

This council recognises the profound impact that the development of its first town, Northstowe, will have on South Cambridgeshire and its citizens and the importance of effective communication channels at all levels is acknowledged as being paramount to its ultimate success. The council notes the creation of an informal group of six Conservative councillors, 3 District and 3 County, [The 3+3 Group] that has been tasked with the following fundamental issues:

- To give direction and guidance to officers of the Northstowe Joint Project Team
- To establish close co-ordination between the two authorities
- To nurture innovative and creative ways to make Northstowe a success
- To consider views emerging from the Northstowe Parish Forum

Council calls upon the leadership of the District Council:

- To modify the constitution of this group in order that it may benefit from, at the very least, the participation of members whose wards will be disproportionately affected by the development.
- To publish the Terms of Reference
- To create and publish minutes of its meetings including any already held

in order to demonstrate commitment to open and transparent democracy in respect of this hugely important project.

Alex Riley has agreed to second.

Regards  
Lynda

**Adams Holly**

---

**From:** John Batchelor [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 18 January 2011 10:36  
**To:** Adams Holly  
**Subject:** Motion to Council

Dear Holly,

Please see below a Motion to Council which should stand in the name of Sebastian Kindersley as proposer. Thanks.

Regards  
John

MOTION TO COUNCIL 27 JANUARY 2011

This Council agrees that Compulsory Purchase powers may be used if there is no other alternative way of ensuring the completion of the Windmill Estate project in Fulbourn.

Proposer: Sebastian Kindersley

South Cambridgeshire District Council

Council Meeting 27<sup>th</sup> January 2011

Notice of Motion from Councillor M.J. Mason

Council notes with concern the continuing problems for residents, caused by the failure of builders and the water authority, to fulfil their obligations under building regulations and the water acts. Furthermore it deeply regrets that many house holders are being incorrectly charged for surface water drainage on their water services bills and hereby resolves to:-

- (a) publicise the details to all householders and give assistance wherever possible, to enable this standing charge to be deleted.
- (b) make representation to Ofwat and central government with view to amendment or repeal of the clause within the Water Act, which allows the water companies to levy this standing charge by default.



**Motion to Council on 24<sup>th</sup> Feb**

This Council firstly congratulates all those in the Environmental Health Department on the way that the staff has conducted business following the resignation of the Chief Officer last Spring, and the retirement of the long-serving Principal Officer last summer.

Secondly, this council requests that the search for a new Chief Officer be put on hold. This would enable the Senior Management Team to explore the possibility of SCDC sharing a CEHO with another authority. The position of Principal Officer should also be considered for a joint appointment. It would be sensible to use this opportunity to devise a policy regarding shared services in general.

In view of the cuts, the Liberal Democrat Group believes that local taxpayers would favour the possibility of a permanent saving in expenditure, bearing in mind that a saving could mean the possibility of using the money saved on a more necessary or desirable objective.

Proposed by Cllr Liz Heazell  
Seconded by Cllr Hazel Smith

**From:** Cllr Wright  
**To:** Adams Holly  
**CC:**  
**Subject:** Notice of Motion

**Sent:** 14/02/2011 16:54:52



Message

#### Notice of Motion

This Council supports seeking energy from renewable resources. However, applications for Wind farms (more than 2 turbines) cause deep concerns to our residents by nature of their size, scale and noise. Our Council proposes to instruct officers of a minimum distance of 2 kilometres (1.5 miles) between a dwelling and a turbine be set when scoping applications to protect residents from disturbance.

Proposed by- Cllr Nick. Wright

Seconded by- Cllr Mark Howell

**From:** johngwilliams@[REDACTED]  
**To:** Adams Holly  
**CC:** Cllr Smith Hazel  
**Subject:** Motion to Council

**Sent:** 15/02/2011 09:25:47



Message

Good morning Holly

Here is a motion I should like to put. The seconder has yet to be decided. If there's a problem please call me before midday on [REDACTED]. I currently can't access the council website, but hopefully IT will sort it soon!

Best regards

"This council recognizes the important part that local government has to play in tackling the country's financial deficit. Contrary to national government, local government has made efficiency savings of three per cent in each of the past eight years. However the government settlement this council and others have received is so structured to make its biggest hit in this coming financial year and this front loading means we have not had the lead in time necessary to re-engineer services on a lower cost base in a planned and measured way. The settlement is for two years only out of the five year period of the national government and therefore this and other councils could be faced with a similar situation in two years time. We therefore agree that this council should write to the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government to draw attention to the difficulties caused by the current front loading and ask that before the next local government settlement takes place in 2013 there is extensive consultation with local authorities on its implementation to minimize its impact on vulnerable communities and front line services."

**Adams Holly**

---

**From:** Sebastian Kindersley [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 05 April 2011 10:00  
**To:** Adams Holly  
**Subject:** Motion for Council

Hi Holly - a motion for next Council please...

This Council notes the cancellation of the A14 project by Government with no alternative plan in place and further notes Northstowe cannot progress until the A14 issue is resolved. With no prospect of this happening in the foreseeable future and in the interest of value for money for South Cambridgeshire citizens this Council resolves to suspend any further use of Council resources in relation to Northstowe; such resources to be redirected to core tasks of the Council such as planning and strategic housing development.

Proposed by me - seconder to follow - thanks

**Sebastian Kindersley**

Cambridgeshire County Councillor - Gamlingay Division  
South Cambridgeshire District Council - Gamlingay Ward

[www.facebook.com](http://www.facebook.com)  
[www.sebastiankindersley.com](http://www.sebastiankindersley.com)  
01767 651982  
07710 467258

This message was sent with High Importance.

**From:** Cllr Heazell  
**To:** Adams Holly  
**Subject:** Motion for Council

**Sent:** 05/04/2011 15:58:15



Message

Dear Holly

I would like to submit the following motion to full Council.  
Liz

Council is pleased that the Housing Corporate Manager is to report on how best to arrange for the election of tenant representatives to the TPG , but requests

1. that his report is submitted as soon as possible rather than to the October Pfh meeting, thereby enabling a panel to be elected before the end of the calendar year
2. that a report is put forward at the same time regarding the setting up of a Housing Management Board which would include both tenants and councillors.

Arrangements could then be made to hold elections of tenants to both new bodies at the same time , thereby saving HRA expenditure, and allowing both new teams to commence work at the same time, well before the end of the financial year.

Proposer Cllr Liz Heazell