## May Susan

From:

G.Joan Smith

Sent:

07 April 2003 16:11

To:

May Susan

Subject: Motion for May Council

Please include the following motion on the agenda for next council. I will be proposer and Cllr.

Mark Howell, seconder

"In recognition of 2003 being designated the European Year of Disabled People and of the fact that on 1 October 2004 all service providers will have to comply fully with the Disability Discrimination Act (1995), that South Cambridgeshire District Council set up a consultative panel of people with disabilities."

G. Joan Smith (Signature

Medu.

This Count supports the netroduction of Polece's

Commity Support officers. The amount of movements

to be medded in this much needed service

Bhorefol hee/ £900,000 apread one 3 greate.

Philipson of Support Carrier to

polool the vellage of South Carrier making

reducing onene x the fear of evening.

L. J. Wilson (Signature)

77h. May 2003

## **May Susan**

From:

Wilson Lister

Sent:

14 May 2003 22:19

To:

May Susan

Subject:

My motion about Community Support Officers

Importance:

High


Dear Susan

I wish to withdraw this motion from discussion at Thursday's Full Council Meeting.

Regards

Lister

Personal Website



# NOTICE OF MOTION TO FULL COUNCIL ON $10^{TH}$ JULY 2003.

'This Council notes with alarm that the proposed European Constitution will have implications for everyone living in South Cambridgeshire. The constitution will transfer powers away from both local and national government. This will mean more legislation being decided at a European rather than national level.

The Government has used referendums in the past to approve other constitutional changes affecting both local and national government.

This Council believes that the people of South Cambridgeshire should be able to have their say on these constitutional changes in the form of a referendum.

This Council therefore resolves to make a formal written submission to the Prime Minister supporting calls for a national referendum on the proposed European Constitution'.

Colin Barker (Signature)

27-6-03

Received 27/6/03

S. C. May (Signature)

Recent bids to promise social housing in our (137)
traditional villages, to fairle tocal people have failed to
attract funding from Transitional LASHG. In view of
this, and in view of the Cabuset decision not to reserve
this, and in view of the Cabuset decision not to reserve \$960,000 transler negative having subsidy for honoring praision, Carriel resolves to use three annual Sums of £1 incluair, starting in 2004-5 to pump prime faising association schemes in order to provide affordable haveing for local people. These sums to be used from reserves, as an investment in local people, and in the confirment of mr corporate Objective to provide afrordable homes.

Liz Heazell (Signature) and

-Sebastian Kindersley (Signature)

Reco 1st Pecember 2003

THIS SCHEME SHOULD NOT BE ENACTED UNTIL

DIRECTOR HAS HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO ACUISE ON THE PROPOSED SCHENE

- THE MANAGEMENT TEAM HAS HAD AN OPPORTUNITY

  TO SCRUTINISE AND ADVISE ON THE PROPOSEDO

  SCHEME, WITH PARTICULAR REFERENCE TO

  FUTURE COUNCIL TAX LEVELS, AND MEDIUM TO

  LONG TERM FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS
  - 3) CLARIFICATION IS 68TAINED RE: THE PLANNING
    INSPECTORS DECISION ABOUT WASAVEYAM
    AFFORDADLE HOMES IN INFILL ONLY VICLAGES
    (THE RAMPTON DECISION)
  - A) THE OUTCOME OF THE STOCK OPTIONS
    APPRAISAL IS KNOWN.
  - 5) THE PUBLIC HAVE BEEN CONSULTED ABOUT
    ALL THE RELEVANT ISSUES CONCERNING THE
    IMPLEMENTATION OF THIS MOTION

Colin Barker (Signature)

# Motion for Meeting of Council on 11/12/03

#### That

South Cambridgeshire District Council notes the decision of the Deputy Prime Minister to uphold the appeal of the University of Cambridge against the decision of this Council to refuse planning permission for a primate research centre at 307 Huntingdon Road.

Council also notes that the planning inspector who heard the appeal upheld the position taken by the District. He also stated that he found the medical/scientific evidence inconclusive, and did not find objective evidence that this development would be in the "national interest".

This being so, Council is extremely disturbed at the granting of approval by the DPM, as it undermines local accountability in the planning process. Council is also seriously concerned that a significant proportion of the costs of additional policing will be borne by the taxpayers of Cambridgeshire, to the detriment of effective local policing.

### Council therefore:

- 1) Calls upon our local MPs to raise these concerns with the government;
- 2) Resolves to examine what legal recourse we have to obtain a review of this decision in the light of the DPM's use of the argument that alternative sites could not come forward in a reasonable time frame/timescale, whilst the University is widely reported as saying that funding is not yet in place to implement any permission granted, which argues against great urgency.



Cllr. N.J. Scarr

# Motion to Meeting of Full Council, 11 December 2003 From Councillors Rex Collinson and Tony Nicholas

As the local planning authority this Council affirms its commitment to effective development control throughout the District.

As part of that duty it will make every effort to deal effectively with breaches of planning control in the area of land between Setchell Drove and Water Lane, Cottenham and its environs. It will also co-operate with other appropriate agencies to address associated problems of antisocial behaviour so that local residents can once again enjoy an orderly and peaceful life.

R. F. Collinson (Signature)

J. A. Nicholas (Signature)

CLLR. R.F. COLLINSON

CLLR. J.A. NICHOLAS

27th. November, 2003



Dear Mr. Ballantyne,

I would be grateful if these two Notices of Motion could be included in the agenda for the next meeting of Full Council.

In view of recent lapses of memory and misleading information, that all the meetings of this council and its various committees are recorded on mini-discs and saved for at least five years. Then for the sake of accuracy, and for the benefit of the electors and councillors, a complete and accurate record of the Council's business can be retained cheaply for use, if and when required.

That this Council is totally opposed to the current unsustainable plan for the expansion of Stansted Airport. That the Deputy Prime Minister, the Minister for Transport, and the Australian Stansted apologist, Germaine Greer, be informed that this Council considers the new runway to be environmentally and socially unacceptable.

Yours sincerely, Robin Page (Signature)

Robin Page